

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

46

47

48

49

50

51

59

60

61

62

63

64

Biol. Lett. (2009) 00, 1-3 doi:10.1098/rsbl.2009.0312 Published online 00 Month 0000

Global change biology

Climate change effects on migration phenology may mismatch brood parasitic cuckoos and their hosts

Nicola Saino^{1,*}, Diego Rubolini¹, Esa Lehikoinen², Leonid V. Sokolov³, Andrea Bonisoli-Alquati¹, Roberto Ambrosini⁴, Giuseppe Boncoraglio¹ and Anders P. Møller⁵

¹Dipartimento di Biologia, Università degli Studi di Milano,

via Celoria 26, I-20133 Milano, Italy

²Department of Biology, University of Turku, FI-20014 Turku, Finland ³Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences,

⁴Dipartimento di Biotecnologie e Bioscienze, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, p.zza della Scienza 2, I-20126 Milano, Italy 21

22 ⁵Laboratoire d'Ecologie, Systematique et Evolution, CNRS UMR 8079,

23 Université Paris-Sud, Bât. 360, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France

*Author for correspondence (nicola.saino@unimi.it). 24

25 Phenological responses to climate change vary among taxa and across trophic levels. This can 26 lead to a mismatch between the life cycles of eco-27 logically interrelated populations (e.g. predators 28 and prey), with negative consequences for popu-29 lation dynamics of some of the interacting 30 species. Here we provide the first evidence that 31 climate change might disrupt the association 32 between the life cycles of the common cuckoo 33 (Cuculus canorus), a migratory brood parasitic 34 bird, and its hosts. We investigated changes in 35 timing of spring arrival of the cuckoo and its hosts throughout Europe over six decades, and 36 found that short-distance, but not long-distance, 37 migratory hosts have advanced their arrival 38 more than the cuckoo. Hence, cuckoos may keep 39 track of phenological changes of long-distance, 40 but not short-distance, migrant hosts, with poten-41 tial consequences for breeding of both cuckoo and 42 hosts. The mismatch to some of the important 43 hosts may contribute to the decline of cuckoo popu-44 lations and explain some of the observed local 45 changes in parasitism rates of migratory hosts.

Keywords: brood parasitism; climate change; migration; population trends

1. INTRODUCTION

Climate change has affected the annual timing of bio-52 logical events such as leafing and flowering, and animal 53 reproduction (e.g. Parmesan & Yohe 2003). Migratory 54 birds breeding at temperate latitudes have advanced 55 their migration and breeding timing as a response to 56 a generalized advancement of spring caused by climate 57 change (Dunn 2004; Lehikoinen et al. 2004). 58

Phenological responses to climate change vary across species (Menzel et al. 2006; Rubolini et al. 2007), potentially due to several reasons. First, the

Electronic supplementary material is available at http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1098/rsbl.2009.0312 or via http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org.

extent of phenotypic plasticity in specific activities (e.g. migration) in response to climatic signals can vary interspecifically. Second, response to climate change in a particular activity may be constrained by the timing of other activities. For example, anticipation of bird spring migration may be constrained by the timing of moult in wintering quarters (Gordo 2007). Moreover, differences in genetic variance in endogenous programmes governing phenophases may affect their microevolutionary change rate (Pulido 2007). Thus, phenological responses to climate change may affect ecosystem functioning by differentially influencing annual routines of ecologically interacting populations.

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

The ecological consequences of phenological divergence in response to climate have been mostly investigated in food webs, among primary producers and consumers or at higher trophic levels (Visser & Both 2005; Both et al. 2009). Examples of differential response to climate changes disrupting ecological relationships come from bird studies on predatorprey or competitive interactions (Both & Visser 2001; Ahola et al. 2007). Possibly as a consequence of increasing phenological mismatch with their prey, populations of some predators have declined (Both et al. 2006; Møller et al. 2008).

Differential phenological responses to climate change are similarly likely to interfere with other ecological relationships such as brood parasite-host interactions (Brooks & Hoberg 2007). Obligate brood parasites rely on their hosts to complete their life cycle (Davies 2000). Brood parasites may not be able to track host phenological changes either because of their slower rates of microevolution or because of constraints on phenological phenotypic plasticity.

We investigate how a differential phenological response to climate change by the cuckoo and its hosts may lead to a phenological mismatch. The cuckoo is an obligate brood parasite of more than 100 European passerine species (Davies 2000). Females lay a single egg in each host nest, and the chick ejects host eggs. Successful parasitism results in host clutch failure, with host parents caring for the parasite. Like several of their hosts, cuckoos are long-distance migrants wintering in sub-Saharan Africa. However, other hosts are residents or migrate over shorter distances, wintering in Europe or North Africa (Cramp 1998; Davies 2000).

Although an advancement in spring migration has occurred among most European birds, there is a considerable interspecific variation, which is partly explained by migratory strategy, since short-distance migrants (SDM) have advanced more than longdistance migrants (LDM) (Rubolini et al. 2007). This could be owing to, for example, more strict endogenous control of migration schedules or time constraints by competing activities (e.g. moult) during wintering in LDM (Pulido 2007).

We analyse annual rates of change in spring arrival 121 122 dates of the cuckoo and 40 migratory hosts. We predicted that cuckoos have advanced migration timing 123 124 less than SDM, but not LDM hosts because cuckoos 125 are LDM, potentially resulting in increased mismatch-126 ing in arrival at the breeding areas relative to SDM 127 hosts. 128

St Petersburg 199034, Russia 20

2 Change in phenology of cuckoos and hosts N. Saino et al.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

We collated both published and unpublished data on changes in first 130 arrival dates (FAD) of the cuckoo and its hosts from 20 European 131 sites (1947-2007) (see electronic supplementary material also for 132 tests of publication bias). The 340 time series considered started on average in 1964 (7.7 years s.d.), ended in 2002 (2.8 s.d.) and 133 included data for 37.0 (9.28 s.d.) years on average. Changes in FAD were expressed as the slope (in d yr^{-1}) of the linear regression 134 135 of arrival date on year. FAD, rather than mean/median arrival dates 136 (MAD), were chosen because they are the most abundant available data on bird migration phenology (Lehikoinen et al. 2004). However, 137 FAD and MAD are positively correlated (see electronic supplemen-138 tary material). We considered 'suitable' cuckoo host species (n = 42)139 or a subset of 28 'main' hosts (see electronic supplementary 140 material).

3. RESULTS

129

141

142

143

Rates of advancement of cuckoo and host FAD 144 145 (figure 1) yield an estimated anticipation (in days) of 146 5.3 for the cuckoo, 14.6 for SDM and 6.0 for LDM, 147 over a period of 40 years (approximately corresponding 148 to the mean duration of the phenological time series). For each species and site, we computed the difference 149 in change in FAD between the cuckoo and its hosts 150 and the mean across sites was computed for each 151 host (table S1 in electronic supplementary material). 152 The mean of these values was larger than 0 for 153 SDM (one-sample t-tests; suitable hosts: mean 154 (s.e.m.) = 0.205 d yr⁻¹ (0.026), $t_{15} = 7.76$, p < 0.001; 155 main hosts: 0.211 (0.026), $t_{11} = 7.96$, p < 0.001, but 156 not for LDM (suitable hosts: -0.003 (0.025), 157 $t_{25} = -0.11$, p = 0.913; main hosts: 0.001 (0.024), 158 $t_{15} = 0.06, p = 0.957$). Thus, SDM, but not LDM, hosts 159 160 have advanced their arrival more than cuckoos. The differ-161 ence in advancement relative to the cuckoo was larger for SDM than LDM hosts (independent samples t-tests; 162 suitable hosts: 0.208 (0.038), $t_{40} = 5.45$, p < 0.001; 163 main hosts: 0.209 (0.036), $t_{26} = 5.84$, p < 0.001). 164

A linear mixed model (see electronic supplementary 165 material) with site and species as random effects 166 showed a significant difference in advancement rates 167 between the cuckoo and SDM-suitable hosts (differ-168 ence between marginal means = 0.218 (0.082); post 169 *hoc* test: p = 0.018) but not LDM-suitable hosts 170 (difference = 0.012 (0.080); p = 0.879), with SDM 171 advancing more than LDM hosts (difference = 0.205172 (0.034); p < 0.001; overall effect: $F_{2,20,7} = 18.89,$ 173 174 p < 0.001). In this model, the rate of change in arrival 175 dates declined with the year of start (slope = -0.013(0.005), t = -2.69, p = 0.011) and number of years 176 $(slope = -0.008 \ (0.004), t = -2.14, p = 0.037)$ of 177 the phenological time series. Non-significant inter-178 actions $(p \ge 0.148)$ with species category (cuckoo, 179 180 SDM or LDM) were removed from the model. This implies that advancement in FAD was larger in 181 recent years for all species, confirming previous find-182 ings on MAD (Rubolini et al. 2007). The same 183 model applied to main hosts showed similar differences 184 between cuckoo and hosts (cuckoo versus SDM hosts, 185 p = 0.023; LDM hosts, p = 0.800; overall effect: 186 $F_{2,17.3} = 13.77, p < 0.001$). 187

The above analyses gave qualitatively similar results 188 when run on the subset of hosts whose populations 189 190 have declined at least as much as the cuckoo (see electronic supplementary material). Thus, the results were 191 not confounded by the effect of population trends on 192

Biol. Lett. (2009)



193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

227

228

229

Figure 1. Rates of advancement in first arrival dates $(-1 \times$ change in FAD) for the cuckoo, and short- and long-distance migratory suitable host species. Sample sizes refer to the total number of time series.

FAD estimates. In addition, when mean rates of change in FAD of hosts within sites were compared with the cuckoo rate of change, SDM hosts were again found to have advanced more than cuckoos (paired *t*-tests; SDM: suitable hosts: $t_{13} = 5.32$, p < 0.001; main hosts: $t_{13} = 5.33$, p < 0.001; LDM: suitable hosts: $t_{19} = -0.19$, p = 0.852; main hosts: $t_{18} = 0.02, p = 0.982$).

4. DISCUSSION

Cuckoos are not keeping track of phenological changes in migration of all their hosts, since SDM but not LDM hosts have advanced their arrivals more than the cuckoo. This difference in the relative shift of Q1 226 arrival is in the predicted direction because LDM, including the cuckoo, have advanced their arrival less than SDM (Lehikoinen et al. 2004; present study).

Migration dates positively predict laying dates 230 across species (see electronic supplementary material), 231 and species where migration dates have advanced more 232 have also experienced a larger anticipation of breeding 233 (Ahola et al. 2004). In addition, cuckoo and host 234 migration dates largely overlap (Cramp 1998). Fur-235 thermore, breeding dates of several European birds 236 have advanced during the last decades (Dunn 2004). 237 Thus, cuckoos may be missing an increasing pro-238 portion of breeding opportunities because of an 239 increasing delay in their arrival relative to SDM 240 hosts. Cuckoos might compensate for increasing mis-241 match by shifting to long-distance migratory hosts. 242 For example, the reed warbler (Acrocephalus scirpaceus), 243 a main LDM cuckoo host, has experienced a 2.4-fold 244 increase in parasitism rate during 1972-1982 compared 245 with the previous 32 years, while parasitism rates in five 246 important SDM and/or resident hosts (Prunella modu-247 laris, Anthus pratensis, Carduelis cannabina, Motacilla 248 alba, Erithacus rubecula) have approximately halved 249 (Brooke & Davies 1987). However, such host shifts 250 may not be general and vary geographically, according 251 to e.g. composition of hosts' community. 252

Cuckoos have experienced a 'small' decline in 253 Europe (BirdLife International 2004). Interestingly, 254 however, the number of host species that declined 255 less than the cuckoo is larger than expected by 256

257 chance (binomial test, suitable SDM or LDM hosts: both p < 0.022; main SDM hosts: p = 0.039; main 258 LDM hosts: p = 0.070). If the cuckoo and its hosts 259 are similarly sensitive to the phenomena (unrelated 260 to parasitism) that cause a generalized decline in 261 262 migratory species abundance, the larger decline 263 in cuckoo populations may result from the additive effect of differential phenological shifts relative to 264 265 some hosts.

The consequences of differential phenological shifts 266 for cuckoo population dynamics are difficult to predict, 267 as they depend on several factors. First, the proportion 268 of cuckoos parasitizing resident, short-distance or 269 270 long-distance migratory host species is determined by host population size in combination with host-specific 271 272 parasitism rates. However, host population sizes are 273 only roughly known for several European regions and 274 host-specific parasitism rates vary geographically 275 (Davies 2000). Second, differential shifts may have 276 complex effects on availability of host second clutches 277 depending on their timing relative to the cuckoo laying period. Third, several hosts are currently undergoing 278 changes in population size, with trends differing 279 among species and geographically (BirdLife Inter-280 national 2004). Fourth, the impact of phenological 281 change in host migration will depend on timing of 282 283 host arrival relative to cuckoos. Finally, specialization of cuckoo 'races' ('gentes'; Davies 2000) on host 284 species may exacerbate the consequences of phenologi-285 cal mismatching by hindering the ability of cuckoos to 286 287 shift to hosts to which they are less mismatched.

288 Phenological mismatching has potential conse-289 quences also for host population dynamics. While several hosts have low rates of parasitism, which is 290 therefore unlikely to markedly affect their populations, 291 parasitism rates on some hosts are more than 5 per cent 292 293 and locally up to more than 50 per cent (Davies 2000). Depending on phenological shifts relative to the 294 cuckoo, these hosts may suffer increased parasitism, 295 with negative effects on their populations. 296

Microevolutionary consequences of differential phenological shifts between cuckoos and their hosts 298 are also expected. Host shifts may select for earlier 299 migration and breeding, particularly among the most 300 parasitized hosts. On the other hand, variation in the 302 relative abundance of hosts may affect cuckoo popu-303 lation structure, in terms of relative frequency of gentes, and may even drive to extinction the gentes 304 that are specialized on hosts that are increasingly mismatched to the cuckoo. 306

In conclusion, this study provides evidence that climate change may be affecting ecological interactions and coevolutionary dynamics between brood parasites and their hosts.

Ahola, M., Laaksonen, T., Sippola, K., Eeva, T., Rainio, K. & Lehikoinen, E. 2004 Variation in climate warming along the migration route uncouples arrival and breeding dates. Glob. Change Biol. 10, 1610-1617. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00823.x)

- Ahola, M., Laaksonen, T., Eeva, T. & Lehikoinen, E. 2007 Climate change can alter competitive relationships between resident and migratory birds. J. Anim. Ecol. 76, 1045–1052. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2007.01294.x)
- BirdLife International 2004 Birds in Europe: population estimates, trends and conservation status. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International.
- Both, C. & Visser, M. E. 2001 Adjustment to climate change is constrained by arrival date in a long-distance migrant bird. Nature 411, 296-298. (doi:10.1038/35077063)
- Both, C., Bouwhuis, S., Lessells, C. M. & Visser, M. E. 2006 Climate change and population declines in a longdistance migratory bird. Nature 441, 81-83. (doi:10. 1038/nature04539)
- Both, C., van Asch, M., Bijlsma, R. G., van den Burg, A. B. & Visser, M. E. 2009 Climate change and unequal phenological changes across four trophic levels: constraints or adaptations? J. Anim. Ecol. 78, 73-83. (doi:10.1111/j. 1365-2656.2008.01458.x)
- Brooke, M. & Davies, N. B. 1987 Recent changes in host usage by cuckoos Cuculus canorus in Britain. J. Anim. Ecol. 56, 873-883.
- Brooks, D. R. & Hoberg, E. P. 2007 How will global climate change affect parasite-host assemblages? Trends Parasitol. 23, 571-574. (doi:10.1016/j.pt.2007.08.016)
- Cramp, S. 1998 The complete birds of the Western Palearctic on CD-ROM. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Davies, N. B. 2000 Cuckoos, cowbirds and other cheats. London, UK: T & AD Poyser.
- Dunn, P. O. 2004 Breeding dates and reproductive performance. Adv. Ecol. Res. 35, 67-85.
- Gordo, O. 2007 Why are bird migration dates shifting? A review of weather and climate effects on avian migratory phenology. Clim. Res. 35, 37-58. (doi:10. 3354/cr00713)
- Lehikoinen, E., Sparks, T. H. & Zalakevicius, M. 2004 Arrival and departures dates. Adv. Ecol. Res. 35, 1-31. (doi:10.1016/S0065-2504(04)35001-4)
- Menzel, A. et al. 2006 European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern. Glob. Change Biol. 12, 1969-1976. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486. 2006.01193.x)
- Møller, A. P., Rubolini, D. & Lehikoinen, E. 2008 Populations of migratory bird species that did not show a phenological response to climate change are declining. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 105, 16195-16200. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.0803825105)
- Parmesan, C. & Yohe, G. 2003 A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. Nature 421, 37-42. (doi:10.1038/nature01286)
- Pulido, F. 2007 Phenotypic changes in spring arrival: evolution, phenotypic plasticity, effects of weather and condition. Clim. Res. 35, 5-23. (doi:10.3354/cr00711)
- Rubolini, D., Møller, A. P., Rainio, K. & Lehikoinen, E. 2007 Intraspecific consistency and geographic variability in temporal trends of spring migration phenology among European bird species. Clim. Res. 35, 135-146. (doi:10. 3354/cr00720)
- Visser, M. E. & Both, C. 2005 Shifts in phenology due to global climate change: the need for a yardstick. Proc. R. Soc. B 272, 2561-2569. (doi:10.1098/rspb. 2005.3356)

380

381

382 383

384

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

Biol. Lett. (2009)

297

301

305

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319 320

Author Queries

Journal: Biology Letters Manuscript: RSBL20090312

Q1 Please check edits and correct if necessary.