Kontyû, Tokyo, 49 (3): 470-476. September 25, 1981

Supplementary Notes on the Crossing between Two Closely Related Phytophagous Ladybirds, *Henosepilachna vigintioctomaculata* and *H. pustulosa* (Coleoptera, Coccinellidae)*

Susumu Nakano

Zoological Institute, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060, Japan

Synopsis F_1 fertility and F_2 and backcross viability of hybrids between Hokkaido form of *Henosepilachna vigintioctomaculata* and Sapporo form of *H. pustulosa* are briefly reported. F_1 hybrids of both sexes in two reciprocal combinations are fertile. The hatching ratios of eggs laid by intra- F_1 and backcrossing pairs are higher than those by interbreeding pairs reported previously. Both F_2 and backcross offspring can grow normally under laboratory conditions and their sex ratios do not significantly differ from 1:1.

Based on the preliminary crossing experiments between two closely related phytophagous ladybirds, Henosepilachna vigintioctomaculata (Motschulsky) and H. pustulosa (Kôno) (henceforth abbreviated as Hv and Hp respectively), Katakura and Nakano (1979) reported on the degree of their sexual isolation, hatching ratios of offspring by both conspecific and interbreeding pairs, and viability of their F_1 hybrids. But F_1 hybrid fertility and related items could not be examined because of the difficulty of successive rearing mainly due to the seasonal restriction of their foods, fresh leaves of their host plants. Previously Yasutomi (1966) reported F_1 fertility and F_2 viability of hybrids between Hp and Hv, but he did not give any detailed records. Supplementing these studies, the present paper deals with the fertility of F_1 hybrids and the viability of both backcrosses and F_2 hybrids.

Before going further, I wish to express my sincere thanks to Prof. Mayumi Yamada, Zoological Institute, Hokkaido University for his reading through the manuscript and to Prof. Shôichi F. Sakagami, Institute of Low Temperature Science, Hokkaido University, for his valuable advices and encouragement. The special appreciation is due to Dr. Haruo Katakura who guided the present study with helpful suggestions. Cordial thanks are also due to Messrs. Shinji Imamura, Hitoshi Hinomizu, Kazuo Hoshikawa, and Toshihiro Kimura for their kind advices and Nobuo Tsurusaki for his aid in rearing the larvae.

Materials and Methods

Crossing experiments were intermittently made from 1978 to 1980 by using

^{*} Contributions to the knowledge of Henosepilachna vigintioctomaculata complex. IX.

Table 1. Sources of the materials used.

Material	Parents or eggs from	collected on	Locality	
$F_1a (Hv \hookrightarrow Hp \circlearrowleft)$			Q	
A*	S. megacarpum	June 26, 1978	Nopporo near Sapporo	
\mathbf{B}^*	ditto	June 14, 1979	ditto	
C*	ditto	June 4, 1980	ditto	
D^*	ditto	June 8, 1980	ditto	
$F_1b (Hp \stackrel{\circ}{+} \times Hv \stackrel{\circ}{\circ})$				
E**	ී: S. brioniaefolius	July 4, 1979	Kobetsuzawa in Sapporo	
	우: thistle	June 1, 1978	Nopporo near Sapporo	
\mathbf{F}^*	S. megacarpum	May 31, 1980	Nopporo near Sapporo	
Нр			Q	
G	thistle	May 27, 1978	Nopporo near Sapporo	
Н	ditto	June 1, 1979	ditto	
I	ditto	June 8, 1980	ditto	
Hv				
J	potato	June 10, 1978	Hiroshima-chô near Sapporo	
K	ditto	June 13, 1979	Nishinosato near Sapporo	
L	ditto	June 8, 1980	Nopporo near Sapporo	

^{*} Offspring of naturally interbreeding pairs.

Hokkaido form of Hv, Sapporo form of Hp, and their F_1 hybrids (F_1a : Hv $\mathcal{P} \times$ Hp \circlearrowleft , F_1b : Hp $\hookrightarrow \times$ Hv \circlearrowleft). Sources of the materials are listed in Table 1. Most of the F1 hybrids used were obtained from interbreeding pairs occasionally caught at Nopporo near Sapporo, where I have been engaged in the study on a mixed population of Hp and Hv both dependent on a herb, Solanum megacarpum KOIDZ. (cf. Katakura et al., 1977). Only E was obtained by laboratory crossing. Hv and Hp used for backcrossing were the laboratory reared offspring of some females (G, H, J-L) or were reared from eggs (I) both collected at field. A total of 20 pairs in eight kinds of combinations, one F2 and seven backcrosses, could be examined (Table 2). Females and males, except those of a pair, No. 19, were separately reared soon after the emergence with sufficient fresh food (thistle or S. megacarpum for Hp, potato or S. megacarpum for Hv and F1 hybrids) and were used for experiments after confirming their sexual maturity (cf. KATAKURA & NAKANO, 1979). In the pair No. 19, the female was kept together with four brothers from the emergence and the experiment was started without insuring her sexual maturity. Some F₁ males were used for successive crossings (each one male for pairs 1-4, 8-9, and 10-11). The results on some pairs which did not perform actual mating within the observation period (pairs 8, 9, 13, 16, 19 and 20) are also contained in Table 2. After crossing the hatching ratio of eggs produced by each pair was examined. Most of the larvae were reared with sufficient S. megacarpum (1978, 1979) or potato (1980) in order to obtain F2 or backcross offspring and to check their sex ratio.

^{**} Offspring of a laboratory crossing pair.

Susumu NAKANO

Table 2. Combinations of backcross and intra-F₁ matings examined.

Combination	Code no. for pair	Material used (cf. Table 1) (female × male)	(1) Year	
Backcross				
$Hp \mathcal{Q} \times F_1 a \mathcal{J}$	1–4	$G \times A$	1978	
	5–6	$ exttt{J} imes exttt{B}$	1979	
	7	$ ext{I} imes ext{D}$	1980	
$\text{Hv} \circ \times \text{F}_1 \text{a} \circ $	8–9	$\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{A}$	1978	
	10–11	$ extstyle{L} imes extstyle{D}$	1980	
	12	$ extsf{L} imes extsf{C}$	1980	
$Hp \hookrightarrow F_1 b \circlearrowleft$	13	$H \times E$	1979	
$Hv \circ \times F_1 b \circ $	14	$K \times E$	1979	
F_1a ? $\times Hv$?	15	$D \times L$	1980	
$F_1b ? \times Hp ?$	16	$F \times I$	1980	
$F_1b + Hv \wedge$	17–18	$F \times L$	1980	
Intra-F ₁				
$F_1a \hookrightarrow F_1a \circlearrowleft$	19	$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$	1978	
	20	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$	1979	

The larval periods (from hatching to adult eclosion) of some backcrosses and F_2 hybrids together with those of conspecific and F_1 offspring were measured mainly in 1979 and partly in 1980. All the rearing experiments were made under constant temperature conditions (26°C in 1978 and 24°C in 1979/1980) and a long day condition (16L8D).

Results and Discussion

1. Fertility of F_1 hybrids and hatching ratios of eggs laid by intra- F_1 and backcross combinations. Hatching ratios of eggs by intra- F_1 and backcross matings are summarized in Table 3. The F_1 hybrid fertility was clearly proved. Nineteen out of 20 examined pairs produced fertilized eggs. Among the six pairs whose actual mating could not be confirmed within the observation period, four pairs (pairs 9, 13, 16, and 20) produced fertilized eggs in the first oviposition, showing the occurrence of actual mating before their first oviposition. On the other hand, the first and second egg masses of pair 19 and the first egg mass of pair 9 did not hatch, though these unhatched eggs were also included in calculating the hatching ratios. Therefore, the hatching ratios obtained from these two pairs might be underestimated, if the actual mating of these pairs occurred just before the third or second oviposition respectively.

The hatching ratios remarkably fluctuated among seven backcross combinations examined, being highest in F_1 a $9 \times Hv$ $6 \times 10^{\circ}$ (72.2%) and lowest in F_1 b $9 \times 10^{\circ}$ (1.4%). Further, a considerable fluctuation was observed even within the pairs of

Supplementary Notes on Crossing of Henosepilachna

Table 3. Hatching ratios of eggs by seven backcross combinations (18 pairs) and one intra- F_1 combination (two pairs).

Parental combination	Code no. for pair	No. of egg masses	No. of eggs		Percentage
			laid	hatched	hatching
Backcross					
$Hp \mathcal{Q} \times F_1 a \mathcal{J}$	1	2	86	11	12.8
(13.1)***	2	4	128	45	35.2
	3	5	187	15	8.0
	4	2	74	4	5.4
	5	6	215	25	11.6
	6	28	502	51	10.2
	7	1	23	2	8.7
	Total	48	1,215	153	12.6
$Hv \hookrightarrow F_1 a \overrightarrow{O}$	8*	3	64	5	7.8
(31.0)***	9*	2	97	31	32.0
	10	9	210	137	65.2
	11	7	126	63	50.0
	12	3	60	0	0.0
	Total	24	557	236	42.4
$Hp \hookrightarrow F_1b \nearrow$	13*	22	676	64	9.5
$Hv \hookrightarrow F_1 b_0^{\Lambda}$	14	18	618	76	12.3
$F_1a {\stackrel{\frown}{\circ}} \times Hv {\stackrel{\frown}{\circ}}$	15	11	327	236	72.2
F_1b $> Hp>$	16**	15	661	9	1.4
$F_1b \circ \times Hv \circ $	17	13	415	127	30.6
(46.2)***	18	12	346	214	61.8
	Total	25	761	341	44.8
Intra-F ₁ cross					
$F_1a \circ \times F_1a \circ $	19*	12	182	42	23.1
(29.5)***	20*	33	989	354	35.8
	Total	45	1,171	396	33.8

^{*} Actual mating not confirmed.

the same combination, e.g., $5.4 \sim 35.2\%$ in Hp $\mathcal{P} \times F_1$ a \mathcal{F} , $0 \sim 65.2\%$ in Hv $\mathcal{P} \times F_1$ a \mathcal{F} . The mean hatching ratio of 18 backcross pairs combined was 24.1%. On the other hand, the hatching ratios of two intra- F_1 pairs were 23.1% and 35.8% respectively, with 33.8% of the mean. Table 4 shows the mean hatching ratios of eggs laid by various combinations of Hv, Hp, and their F_1 hybrids, in which the results concerning conspecific and interbreeding pairs are cited from Katakura and Nakano (1979). Although some combinations are not yet examined, the hatching ratios in backcross and intra- F_1 combinations show various states intermediate between those in conspecific and interbreeding combinations. In this experiment the hatching

^{**} Mating continued less than 60 min.

^{***} Mean hatching ratio per combination.

backcrosses and F₂ hybrids mentioned above, and the normal growth of F₁ hybrids reported by Katakura and Nakano (1979), it is likely that once F₁ hybrids hatch, subsequent growth and reproduction of these F₁ hybrids as well as their offspring may be fairly successful. For the maintenance of specific distinction, therefore, the occurrence of F₁ hybrids should be suppressed at a sufficiently low level by some isolating factors. In fact, hybrids between Hv and Hp seem rare in natural conditions. Katakura and Nakano (1979) explained the rare occurrence of hybrids by the combinations of some incomplete isolating factors. I am now inclining to assume the remarkable low hatchability of eggs laid by interbreeding females of both Hv and Hp (Katakura & Nakano, 1979) as the most crucial factor. Studies on the behaviour of heterogamic sperms in the female reproductive organ and/or embryonic development of hybrid eggs now in progress will clarify the factors governing the low hatchability.

References

- Katakura, H., H. Hinomizu, K. Hoshikawa & T. Kimura, 1977. Problems and methods on the food habits of *Henosepilachna vigintioctomaculata* complex. *Seibutsu Kyôzai*, **12**: 61–92. (In Japanese.)
- & S. Nakano, 1979. Preliminary experiments on the crossing between two puzzling phytophagous ladybirds, *Henosepilachna vigintioctomaculata* and *H. pustulosa* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Kontyû*, *Tokyo*, 47: 176–184.
- YASUTOMI, K., 1966. Classification and bionomics of *Epilachna vigintioctomaculata* Motschulsky and *E. pustulosa* Kôno. *Jap. Soc. Syst. Zool.*, *Circular*, 34: 1–3. (In Japanese.)