Insect responses to plant water deficits. 11. Effect of water deficits in soybean plants on the growth and survival of Mexican bean beetle larvae

GRANT. T. McQUATE and EDWARD F. CONNOR

Department of Environmental Sciences, Clark Hall, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, and The Blandy Experimental Farm, Boyce, Virginia, U.S.A.

> **Abstract.** 1. We examined the effect of water deficits in soybean, *Glycine mux* [L.] Merr., on the growth and survival of Mexican bean beetle larvae, *Epiluchnu vurivestis* Mulsant (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae).

> 2. Larvae were reared under growth chamber, glasshouse, and field conditions on foliage from plants that were either well-watered or subjected to water deficits.

> 3. Larval survival, growth rate and pupal weight were reduced, and development time was increased when larvae were reared on foliage from plants subjected to water deficits. These results are contrary to White's (1974) hypothesis that water deficits in plants cause increased growth and survival of herbivorous insects.

> 4. Changes in foliage chemistry caused by water deficits, possibly in the concentration of free amino acids, are the most likely causes of the observed effects on growth rate and development time. However, under glasshouse and field conditions, physical changes in foliage and/ or the foliage's environment that accompany water deficits are also important.

> **Key words.** Plant water deficits, soybean, Mexican bean beetle, water stress, growth, development, survival.

Introduction

White (1969, 1974, 1976, 1978, 1984) argues - that water deficits alter plant chemistry, improving their nutritional quality and consequently increasing the growth and survival of insects feeding upon them. In particular, he proposes that 'water stress' increases the concentration of nitrogenous compounds in plants centration of nitrogenous compounds in plants
(i.e. free amino acids) and may lead to improved

Correspondence: Dr E. **F.** Connor, The Blandy Experimental **Farm,** P.O. **Box** 175, Boyce, VA 22620, **U.S.A.**

survival of early larval instars. White (1974) proposes this as a general mechanism to explain insect outbreaks. Originally, this theory was based on sapsucking insects (White, 1969), but it was later expanded to include chewing insects (White, 1974) and herbivorous animals in general (White, 1978).

For herbivorous insects **to** benefit from any improvement in the nutritional quality of their host plant caused by water deficits, they must choose to feed on water-deficient foliage. In previous experiments (McQuate & Connor, 1990). we demonstrated that larvae of the Mexican bean beetle *(Epiluchnu varivestis*

Mulsant) usually preferred to feed on foliage from well-watered soybean plants *(Glycine mu* [L.] Merr.). However, larvae preferred to feed on rehydrated foliage that had previously been subjected to very mild water deficits, rather than foliage that had been well watered.

In order to determine if the feeding preference of E. *varivestis* larvae for water-deficient (from mildly to severely water-deficient) and well-watered foliage is correlated with performance, we reared larvae on foliage from well-watered and water-deficient soybean plants in controlled environment chambers, in a glasshouse, and in the field. We monitored survival, growth rate, weight gain, and development time from the first instar through pupation as indices of larval performance.

Methods

Overview of *experimental design.* Experiments were performed to determine if water deficits in soybeans affect the growth **or** survival of Mexican bean beetles. Pots with soybean plants were grown in a glasshouse and randomly divided into a well-watered group (control) and groups which received limited water (treatments). Treatment and control pots were spatially intermingled within the glasshouse. The water status of plants was monitored regularly to document the magnitude of the experimentally imposed water deficit. In **1983** and **1984,** larvae were reared on excised leaves in controlled environment chambers. In **1984,** larvae were also reared on intact plants in a glasshouse and in the field. Larval survival and weight at each instar were recorded. Plants were subjected to less severe water deficits in **1984** because feeding preference tests had indicated that larvae avoided plants subjected to more severe water deficits (McQuate & Connor, **1990).**

Plant growth conditions. In **1983,** soybean *(Glycine mar* [L.] Merr. cv. Williams) seeds were inoculated with *Rhizobium japonicum* and planted **as** described in McQuate & Connor **(1990).** A nitrogen-free nutrient solution was used to provide adequate mineral nutrition (Ahmad & Evans, **1960;** McClure & Israel, **1979).** In **1984,** seeds of *cv.* Ransom were planted as above and fertilized with a nitrogen fertilizer as in McQuate & Connor (1990). In

1984, we switched to a nitrogen fertilizer, rather than bacterial nitrogen fixation, for a nitrogen source because Wilson & Stinner **(1984)** suggested that the growth and survival of Mexican bean beetle larvae might be improved. Soybeans were also planted in a field at the Blandy Experimental Farm, Clarke County, Virginia, U.S.A. **(78"** N, **39"** W). Seeds were inoculated and planted in rows 1 m apart in $8 \times$ 8 m plots.

Water treatments. In **1983,** thirty pots each were assigned at random to control (wellwatered) and treatment (water-deficient) groups. Soil moisture levels were monitored daily with electrical resistance blocks composed of electrodes embedded in gypsum (termed gypsum blocks; Hillel, **1980).** After establishment, treatment pots were monitored daily and watered if soil water potential dropped below -1.5 MPa. In **1984,** thirty-three pots **of** plants were divided among control, mild and moderate water deficit treatments. Leaf water potentials in the mild and moderate water deficit treatments were kept no more than **0.15** MPa and **0.5** MPa below those of control plants, respectively. Control pots were watered as needed to maintain soil water potential above -0.06 MPa.

Water deficits were achieved in the field by using one 3×3 m rain shelter. This shelter was open on all sides to a height of **1.2** m to minimize heat buildup. Gypsum blocks were embedded in the soil under and outside the shelter, and resistances were recorded weekly.

Plant water status. The water status of foliage was determined by measuring leaf diffusive resistances with a Li-Cor Li-1600 diffusive porometer and leaf water potential with a PMS pressure chamber. Diffusive resistance was measured between 15.00 and **17.00** hours prior to leaf excision for feeding experiments **(1983).** In **1984,** both diffusive resistance and leaf water potential were measured between **13.30** and **16.30** hours, prior to leaf excision the following morning. Both of these parameters were measured every third day on plants used for rearing insects in the glasshouse, and weekly on field grown plants.

Insect growth conditions. A stock colony of Mexican bean beetles was maintained on lima bean foliage *(Phaseofus lunatus* L.) as described in McQuate & Connor (1990).

Experiments using excised leaves. In **1983,**

soybean leaves were excised **1** h after sunset, and in **1984** leaves were excised before dawn. Foliage of equal age (first, second, third or fourth trifoliate leaves) was provided to larvae in each treatment in order to remove withinplant variability in water status and foliage chemistry. This was achieved by using foliage from plants planted at different times. New leaves were provided at least every 48 h **(1983) or** every **24** h **(1984).** Growth studies were conducted in an environmental chamber at **23°C** with a **14** h photoperiod. Immediately following excision, leaflets were placed in Petri plates on top of filter paper which was kept constantly moist. Newly emerged larvae were weighed and then placed individually on these leaves.

Adult *E. varivestis* are known to be sexually dimorphic in weight, and preliminary observations suggested that this was also true for larvae and pupae (McQuate, **1985).** Therefore, individuals were reared separately and sexed upon transformation to the adult stage. This increased the statistical power of our test of treatment effects and allowed us to examine sex-specific differences in weight gain at each instar. In **1983,** sixteen larvae from each of three egg clusters were distributed equally between control and treatment foliage *so* that treatment effects were assessed within full-sib families of *E.varivestis* (differences in larval response among egg clusters will be referred to as the dam effect). This amounted to a totai of twenty-four larvae **per** treatment. In **1984,** thirty larvae from each of two egg clusters were divided among the three treatment groups (control, mild and moderate water deficit). This amounted to twenty larvae **per** treatment. Sample size was chosen **so** that a 30% difference in mean larval weight between treatments could be detected with Type I and Type **I1** error rates both equal to 5% (McQuate, **1985).**

Larvae were checked daily and weighed **1** day after the moult preceding the second and the third instars, 2 days after the moult preceding the fourth instar, and **3** days after the outer skin of the fourth instar was pushed posteriorly in the course of pupation. These weighing times were selected because they represent points during development when there is minimal variance in larval weights (Kogan, **1972).** In **1984,** after transformation to the adult stage, each individual was sexed, killed by freezing, and oven-dried to constant weight. The dry weight of adults beetles wis measured to ensure that treatment effects were not caused by differences in water content of 1he insects. Moulting times, time of pupation, and time of metamorphosis were recorded for each individual.

Growth was separated into weight gain between set points in the growth cycle, daily gain in weight (growth rate), and the time between instars (development time). Treatment effects on weight gain, growth rate, and development time were examined using separate four-way (sex, treatment, darn and developmental stage) repeated measures analyses of variance, each with developmental stage **as** the repeated factor. The data was analysed assuming multivariate normality and P-values were adjusted using the Huyndt-Feldt Epsilon to correct for deviation from compound symmetry of the variancecovariance matrices (Winer, **1971;** O'Brien & Kaiser, **1985).** The experimental design allowed us to estimate treaiment effects free from the confounding influences of sexual dimorphism, as well as maternal effects on weight, growth rate, **or** development time.

Experiments using intact plants. Larvae were reared *in situ* in the glasshouse on both control and water-deficient plants. Three pots from each treatment were randomly chosen for these experiments. Larvae from three egg clusters with twenty-one, thirty-six and eighteen eggs, respectively, were divided equally among the plants from the control and the two treatment groups. Initially, first instar larvae were used for these experiments. However, because of high mortality on both treatment and control plants, early third instar larvae were used. Larvae were allowed to roam freely **on** all plants in a given pot. Immigration between pots was limited by keeping adjacent pots widely separated.

Larvae were also reared on field grown plants. Second and third instar larvae were released on field plants on three successive days. On each release (cohort), larvae were derived from a single egg cluster and divided equally between a treatment and a control plant. A total of twentyeight, thirty and twenty-four individual larvae were released on each date. Dispersal was prevented by enclosing plants in a 0.5 mm mesh screen cage, 1.5 m high by 0.6 m in diameter. A total of three planls were used for each treatment. Although treatments were replicated across plants, since only one rain shelter was

Fig. 1. Average diffusive resistance and leaf water potential of soybean foliage used in growth chamber, glasshouse and field experiments. Solid vertical lines depict one standard error. Plant growth periods correspond to Mexican bean beetle larval instars. No data on leaf water potentials were collected during the first three growth periods in 1983.

A. Growth Chamber Diffusive Resistances B. Growth Chamber Leaf Water Potentials

used to deprive field grown plants or water, this experiment could be considered 'pseudoreplication' (Hurlbert, **1984).**

All larvae were allowed to pupate in situ. They were then collected, weighed and sexed upon transformation to the adult stage. Treatment effects were examined using the average pupal weights and average development times observed on each plant. For the glasshouse experiment, treatment effects were examined using Page's test for ordered alternatives (Hollander & Wolfe, **1973).** For the field experiment treatment effects were examined using a paired *t*-test.

Results

Experirnents with excised leaves

Diffusive resistance was greater and leaf water potential lower in leaves from plants grown under water deficits than in control plants (Fig. **1).** The magnitude of the water deficit was not constant over the duration of the larval growth period, being more severe during the first and second instars. Also, the water deficit imposed in the **1983** experiments was more severe than those used in **1984** (henceforth termed the 'severe' water deficit). Both of the water deficits imposed in **1984** fall within the range of diffusive resistances and leaf water potentials upon which larvae prefer to feed \leq 2.73 s/cm and \leq -1.13 MPa, respectively; McQuate & Connor, **1990).** Given the observed diffusive resistances and leaf water potentials, E. varivestis larvae normally would have avoided foliage from plants grown under the severe water deficit (McQuate & Connor, **1990).**

When larvae were reared on foliage from plants subjected.to the severe water deficit they grew more slowly $(F_{1,29} = 19.02, P < 0.001)$, were smaller in mass $(F_{1,29}=29.9, P<0.001)$, and took longer to complete development $(F_{1,29} = 13.4, P<0.001)$ than siblings reared on foliage from well-watered control plants (Figs 2a-c). However, when reared on foliage from plants grown under the mild or moderate water deficit treatments, Mexican bean beetle larvae grew no better than siblings reared on well-watered control foliage (growth rate: $F_{2,31}=1.77$, $P>0.1$, weight gain: $F_{2,31}=0.29$, *P*>0.7, development time: $F_{2,31}$ =1.71, *P*>0.1; and Figs $2d-f$).

Larvae grew at different rates, gained different amounts of weight, and took different lengths of time to complete development in each instar. While males and females grew at similar rates, females gained more weight than males and were heabier at pupation. Significant variation among dams in the time needed for their offspring to complete development was also detected (ANOVA, P<0.05).

The average water content of the experimental insects after transforming to the adult stage was 84.5±0.13%. The water content of adults did not differ between treatments or sexes $(F_{2,36}=0.76, P=0.476, \text{ and } F_{1,36}=1.83,$ **P=O.185,** respectively). Therefore, the observed treatment effects on growth rate and weight gain are not due to differences in the water content of the insects.

No difference in survival rates were detected between larvae reared on well-watered excised foliage and larvae reared on foliage from the severe water deficit treatment in **1983** (paired **t=1.73,** df=2, *P=0.225).* Furthermore, no difference in survival was found between larvae reared on control or water-deficient foliage in 1984 (Friedman's test $\chi^2=0.25$, df=2, *P=0.8825).* While not statistically different, average survival rates were generally lower on water-deficient foliage (Fig. 3).

Experiments using intact plants

Plants used for rearing larvae in situ in a glasshouse were subjected to water deficits of approximately equal severity **as** plants from which foliage was excised for the growth chamber experiments (Figs la-d). However, the survival of larvac: reared on intact plants in **a** glasshouse was lower overall (Fig. **3).** This is probably due to the lower relative humidity of the glasshouse. Growth chamber relative humidity ranged between **90%** and **100%,** while in the glasshouse it averaged 60%. In the glasshouse, larval survival was significantly lower on water-deficient plants than on control plants (repeated measures MANOVA $F_{2,4}=18.43$, $P=0.01$, with cohort as the repeated factor, and Fig. 3).

For those larvae that did survive in the glasshouse, pupal weights were lower and development times longer for those individuals reared on treatment foliage (Page's test **L=28,** *k=3,* $n=2, P=0.05$).

Fig. 2. Average growth rate, weight gain, and development time for Mexican bean beetle larvae at each instar when reared on well-watered and waterdeficient soybean foliage under growth chamber conditions. Solid vertical lines depict one standard error.

Fig. 3. Average survival of Mexican bean beetle larvae reared **on** well-watered and water-deficient soybean foliage under growth chamber, glasshouse and field conditions. Solid vertical lines depict one standard error.

Field-grown plants were subjected to water deficits comparable to the 'mild' water deficit used in the growth chamber and glasshouse experiments (Figs $1a-f$). However, the diffusive resistances of field grown plants were much lower than glasshouse grown plants possibly because of the lower relative humidity of the glasshouse (Fig. le).

Larval survival in the field experiments appears higher than in both the growth chamber and the glasshouse experiments (Fig. 3). However, because the field experiments were initiated with later instar larvae than in all other experiments survival would be expected to be higher. Although no difference in the survival of larvae reared on control and water-deficient foliage in the field was detected (paired *t=4.29,* df=l, *P=O.146),* the average survival rate of larvae reared on water-deficient foliage was lower than for larvae reared on control foliage (Fig. 3).

Larvae reared on water-deficient foliage under field conditions tended to produce smaller pupae (paired *t*-test, $t=1.51$ df=2, $P=0.136$) and tended to take longer to develop (paired *t*test, $t=1.62$, $df=2$, $P=0.124$) than siblings reared on control foliage, but the differences were not statistically significant.

Combined resuq: growth chamber, glasshouse and field experiments

Fisher's method for combining probabilities was used to provide an overall assessment of the effects of water deficits on the growth and survival of *E. varivestis* (Sokal & Rohlf, 1981). Since each of these experiments provides an independent test of the hypothesis that growth rate, weight gain, development time, and survival are no different for larvae reared **on** well-watered and water-deficient foliage, the 'P-values' from these tests can be combined to assess the affect **of** plant water deficits. This procedure can increase the statistical power of the tests of treatment effects. Table **1B** shows that the overall performance of larvae was poorer on water-deficient foliage than on foliage from well-watered plants. Larvae reared on water-deficient foliage grew more slowly, took longer to complete development, were smaller, and experienced higher mortality than siblings reared on foliage from well-watered plants.

Discussion

We present evidence of a consistent tendency under growth chamber, glasshouse and field conditions for water deficits in soybeans to worsen rather than improve the growth and survival of Mexican bean beetle larvae. Although some individual experiments yielded results that were not statistically significant *(P>0.05),* there was a consistent pattern of reduced growth and survival and extended developmental period for larvae reared on waterdeficient foliage when compared to siblings reared on well-watered foliage (Figs 2 and 3).

440 *Grant T. McQuate and Edward F. Connor*

Table 1. The probabilities of a Type I error for each test of the hypothesis that water-deficits effect the growth and survival of *E.vurivestis,* and the probability of a **Type** I error for the combined test for each effect calculated using Fisher's method (Sokal & Rohlf, 1981).

	Growth rate	Weight gain	Development time	Survival
Excised leaves (1983)	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.225
Excised leaves (1984)	0.187	0.728	0.198	0.8825
Glasshouse	–*	0.884	-	0.01
Field	-	0.001	0.005	0.146

A. Individual probabilities of a Type I error

* Not possible **to** perform **test in** these instances.

B. Combined tests using Fisher's method

Growth rate	Weight gain	Development time	Survival
χ^2 = 17.17	χ^2 = 28.51	χ^2 = 27.65	γ^2 = 16.29
$df = 4$	$df = 8$	$df = 6$	$df = 8$
P < 0.005	P< 0.001	P<0.001	P < 0.05

~ ~~ ~~ ~~~~

Even under the mildest water deficits that we could establish, levels approximating those where *E. varivestis* larvae had shown feeding preference over control plants (McQuate & Connor, 1990), larvae experienced no improvement in growth and survival. Furthermore, when this group of experiments is examined as a **set** of independent tests of the same null hypotheses, statistically significant reductions in growth and survival and a lengthening of developmental period are detected (Table 1, *Pc0.05).*

Why do bean beetles grow and survive poorly on water-deficient foliage?

Both physical and chemical changes in foliage accompany water deficits in plants, and either or both could account for the observed poorer performance of larvae **on** water-deficient foli age. Our growth chamber experiments were designed to minimize physical changes in foliage that accompany water deficits to determine if chemical changes alone could affect the growth and survival of *E.varivestis* larvae. To achieve this, we took advantage of normal diurnal vanation in the relative water content (RWC) and toughness of leaves. After sunset and before dawn, previously water-deficient foliage rehydrates and regains its turgor. Dornhoff & Shibles (1970) show that the RWC of non-irrigated

soybeans returned to near 90% within an hour after sunset. We observed the early morning RWC of both well-watered and rehydrated soybeans to be 97% (McQuate & Connor, 1990). Lewis (1982) found that leaf toughness in rewatered, previously water-deficient sunflower plants was no different from that of turgid controls, and we obtained similar results for soybeans (McQuate & Connor, 1990). Since water content and leaf toughness may be of dietary significance to herbivorous insects (Scriber, 1977, 1979; Lewis, 1982; Connor, 1988; McQuate & Connor, 1990), we chose to excise foliage between 1 h after sunset and before dawn to ensure that foliage from both control and water-deficit treatments had rehydrated prior to excision. Furthermore, by conducting experiments in growth chambers we could also control the microclimate experienced by each larva. We believe that these procedures were sufficient to eliminate or minimize most of the physical differences between the foliage excised from well-watered and water-deficient plants. However, our experimental design could not eliminate differences in cell size 'and in the proportion of cell wall material. Nevertheless, we conclude that the reduction in growth and survival observed in our growth chamber experiments is most likely caused by chemical changes in water-deficient foliage.

Growth and survival of *E. varivestis* larvae was reduced to a greater extent in the glasshouse and field experiments than in the growth chamber experiments (Figs 2 and 3). In both the glasshouse and the field experiments we could neither control nor eliminate the effects of the physical changes in foliage that accompany plant water deficits. Therefore, an additional effect of the physical differences between well-watered and water-deficient foliage and/or the foliage's environment appears to be responsible for the greater reduction in growth and survival observed when larvae were reared **on** intact plants. Whether this is due to differences in the water content or toughness, **or** to differences in the humidity **or** temperature near the leaf surface between well-watered and water-deficient foliage, cannot be determined from these experiments. However, the overall poorer survival of *E. varivestis* larvae in the glasshouse, where relative humidities were lowest, is consistent with Wilson *et af.'s* (1982) conclusions that Mexican bean beetle larvae are sensitive to low relative humidities.

What changes in chemical composition are responsible for the poorer performance of larvae on water-deficient foliage?

The chemicals in soybean foliage of potential nutritional significance to insects that are known to change concentration under water deficits include sugars (Fukui & Ojima, 1957; Ford, 1984), pinitol, an 0-methyl-inositol (Ford, 1984), and free amino acids (Fukutoku & Yamada, 1981a, **b;** McQuate & Connor, 1990). It is also possible that changes in secondary plant metabolites are responsible for the observed poor performance of *E.varivestis* on water-deficient soybean foliage (Gershenzon, 1984). Hart *et af.* (1983) have shown that ultraviolet radiation, and possibly mechanical damage and herbivory, can induce the production of phytoalexins in soybeans. They have also shown that fourth instar Mexican bean beetles avoid such foliage. However, it has not been demonstrated that similar chemical changes can be induced **by** water deficits.

Increases in sugar concentration have been reported for soybeans grown under water deficits (Fukui & Ojima, 1957), and sucrose concentration has been shown to affect the consumption rates and growth of Mexican bean

beetle larvae, although not linearly (Kogan, 1971; Jones *et af.,* 1981). However, increased sugar concentrations are probably not important since we observed *E.varivestis* larvae to avoid water-deficient foliage (McQuate & Connor, 1990) and to grow and survive poorly when reared on such foliage, in opposition to the expected impact of elevated sugar levels.

Pinitol, a B-vitamin, increases S-fold in concentration in soybean leaf tissue under very severe water deficits (leaf water potentials of -6.2 MPa; Ford, 1984). However, the concentration of pinitol has no effect on the growth and development of *Heliothis zea* (Boddie) **or** *Trichoplusia ni* (Hubner) (Gardner *etaf.,* 1984). If we can assume that high concentrations of a B-vitamin will not serve as a feeding deterrent, then Ford's (1984) and Gardner *et af.'s* (1984) results combined with ours argues that pinitol is not responsible **for** the differences in larval performance we observed.

Concentrations of free amino acids increase in soybeans under water deficits (Fukutoku & Yamada, 1981a, b; Waldren & Teare, 1974; McQuate & Connor, 1990). The extent of the increase at a given water deficit varies among soybean varieties (Singh & Gupta, 1983). Dietary levels of amino acids, especially the essential amino acids, are important components of insect diets (Rock, 1972; Brodbeck & Strong, 1987). Water deficits alter the balance of free amino acids in foliage, including the proportion of essential amino acids (Fukutoku & Yamada, 198ia). If these changes have an adverse affect on the amino acid balance, amino acids in excess of balanced needs will be used as sources of amino groups, leaving surplus fragments. The disposal of these fragments may produce metabolic dysfunction which could be reflected in poorer growth (Dadd, 1973). It is also conceivable that increased levels of certain amino acids could serve as feeding inhibitors. We have previously shown that water deficits in soybeans increase free amino acid levels and avoidance of such foliage by Mexican bean beetle larvae (McQuate & Connor, **1990).**

Of the chemical changes known to occur under water deficit conditions in soybeans, changes in free amino acid concentrations are the most likely to explain the observed effects on growth, survival, and development of Mexican bean beetle larvae. However, without additional experiments we cannot specifically

implicate changes in the total or individual concentrations of amino acids as the cause of the observed reduction in larval performance. The fact that many correlated chemical changes occur in plants experiencing water deficits makes it very difficult to determine conclusively the exact chemical cause of any observed insect response to such plants. A more comprehensive understanding of the chemical changes that occur in plants experiencing water deficits and their consequences for herbivorous insects is necessary before a specific chemical cause for the reduction in growth and survival we observed can be proposed.

White's hypothesis

The reduction in growth rate, weight gain, and survival and the increase in development time observed for larvae of *E. varivestis* reared on foliage subjected to water deficits clearly does not support White's (1969, 1974, 1976, 1978, 1984) hypothesis. We have documented that amino acid concentrations increase in soybeans subjected to water deficits (McQuate & Connor, 1990), but that instead of improving the growth and survival of E. *varivestis* larvae as predicted by White, growth and survival are impaired. Fig. **4** summarizes the relationship between the **growth,** development, survival, and

Fig. 4. Summary of the relationship between Mexican bean beetle feeding preference, growth, development and survival, and changes in free amino acid concentrations in soybean plants subjected to increasingly severe water deficits. Reduction in leaf water potential is the decrease observed in water-deficient plants compared to wellwatered control plants. Glutamic acid, alanine, aspartic acid and serine do not change concentration in plants experiencing water deficits. Data on changes in free amino acid concentrations in soybeans experiencing water deficits are from Fukutoku & Yamada (1981b) and McQuate & Connor (1990). The abbreviations indicate which amino acids increase in concentration at particular levels of water deficit: Arg. argininc: **Am,** asparagine; Cys, I/ 1-cystine; Gln, glutamine; Gly, glycine; His, histidine; Ile, isoleucine; Leu, leucine: Lys, lysine; Phe, phenylalanine; Pro, proline: **Thr,** threonine: Trp, tryptophan; Tyr, tyrosine; Val, valine.

feeding preference (McQuate & Connor, 1990) of Mexican bean beetle larvae and changes in the concentration of amino acids found in soybeans experiencing water deficits.

Viewed in conjunction with other studies that have shown a wide variety of responses by herbivorous insects to plants experiencing water deficits (Kennedy *et al.,* 1958; Wearing, 1967; Wearing & van Emden, 1967; Sidhu & Kaur, 1976; Scriber, 1977, 1979; Could, 1978; Chandler *et al.,* 1979; Arora & Sidhu, 1982; Brodbeck, 1982; Chadda & Arora, 1982; Lewis, 1982, 1984; Miles *et at.,* 1982a, b; Service & Lenski, 1982; Cates *et al.,* 1983; Mellors & Propts, 1983; Cockfield & Potter, 1986; Price & Clancy, 1986; Watt, 1986; Connor, 1988; McQuate & Connor, 1990), our results suggest that White's hypothesis is untenable as a general explanation for insect outbreaks. The experiments we performed in a controlled environment chamber with rehydrated previously water-deficient foliage were contrived to be a strong test of the hypothesized nutritional effects of water deficits on herbivorous insects. These experiments show that under the very conditions proposed by White to improve the survival of early larval instars (increased foliar amino acid concentrations induced by water deficits), larvae either avoided such foliage or experienced reduced growth and survival. Furthermore, when the physical changes in foliage that accompany water deficits are combined with increased amino acid concentrations, growth and survival were reduced even more.

While the number of studies that have explored the mechanisms that underlie insect responses to plant water deficits is small, none of the studies that suggest that water deficits benefit herbivorous insects have conclusively demonstrated that the observed benefit stems from an improvement in the nutritional quality of the host plant. On the other hand, Waring & -Price (1990) have shown that for species of gall-forming Cecidomyidae that preferentially infest water-deficient creosote bush, the cause of the infestation is an increase in the number of oviposition sites brought about by water deficitinduced changes in host plant morphology, not improvement in nutritional quality. This result combined with durs argues that the mechanistic causes of responses **by** herbivorous insects to water deficits in plants will include changes in plant chemistry, the physical characteristics of

plant tissues, the plant microenvironment, and plant morphology, among others (Mattson & Haack, 1987a, b). Without an understanding of how each of the possible consequences of water deficits in plants can impinge on the behaviour and ecology of associated herbivorous insects, it will be difficult to develop a general theory of how insects interact with plants experiencing water deficits. Studies that compare the effects of water deficits on the interactions of several insect species that feed in different ways (chewing, sucking, skeletonizing, galling or mining) on the same host plant, or that examine insects that feed in Similar ways on plants with different physiological and morphological responses to water deficits may provide a basis for a general theory of the effects of water deficits in plants **on** herbivorous insects.

Acknowledgments

We thank R. Stinner and K. Wilson for their cooperation and guidance in this research, and W. M. Brooks for providing the stock colony of *E.varivestis.* We thank J. McCormick, D. Israel and P. German for technical advice. This paper benefited from careful reading by M. Beck, M. Bowers, C. Sacchi, J. Dooley, M. Taverner, and an anonymous reviewer. This research was supported in part by NSF Grant 80-21779 to EFC, and by a Moore Research Award and an ARCS Fellowship to G.T.M.

References

- **Ahmed, S.** & **Evans, H.J.** (1960) **Cobalt: a micronutrient element for the growth of soybean plants under symbiotic conditions.** *Soil Science,* **90, 205-210.**
- **Arora, R.** & **Sidhu, H.S.** (1982) **Effect of plant water deficit** on **fecundity of the mustard aphid** *Lipaphis erysimi* **(Kaltenbach).** *Zndion Journal* **of** *Entomology, 9,* **346-347.**
- **Brodbeck, B.** (1982) **The effects of host plant stress physiology** on **phytophagous insects. Unpublished M.S. thesis, Florida State University, Tallahassee,** Florida.
- **Brodbeck, B.** & **Strong, D.** (1987) **Amino acid nutrition of herbivorous insects and stress to host plants.** *Insecr Outbreuks* **(ed. by P. Barbosa and J. C. Schultz), pp.** 347-364. **Academic Press, New York.**
- Cates, R.G., Murray, **T.I.,** Redak, R.A. & Henderson, C.B. (1983) Stress physiology, patterns in defensive chemistry, and spruce budwom success. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Portland, Oregon, U.S.A.
- Chadda, I.C. & **Arora,** R. (1982) Influence of water stress in the host plant on mustard aphid *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kaltenbach). *Entomon,* 7,75-78.
- Chandler, L.D., Archer, T.L., Ward, C.R. & Lyle, M.M. (1979) Influence of irrigation practices on spider mite densities on field corn. *Environmental Entomology, 8,* 196-201.
- Cockfield, S.D. & Potter, D.A. (1986) Interaction of *Euonyrnw* scale (Homoptera: Diaspididae) feeding damage and severe water stress on leaf abscission and growth of *Euonymus fortunei. Oecologia,* **71,** $41 - 46$.
- Connor, E.F. (1988) Plant water deficits and insect responses: the preference of *Corythucha acruata* (Heteroptera: Tingidae) for the foliage of white oak *(Quercus alba). Ecological Entomology,* **13,** 375-381.
- Dadd, R.H. (1973) Insect nutrition: current develop ments and metabolic implications. *Annual Review of Entomology,* 18,381-420.
- Dornhoff, **G.M.** & Shibles, R.M. (1970) Varietal differences in the net photosynthesis of soybean leaves. *Crop Science,* 10.42-45.
- Ford, C.W. (1984) Accumulation of low molccular weight solutes in watcr-stressed tropical Icgumcs. *Phyrochernistry,* 23, 1007-1016.
- Fukui, J. & Ojima, M. (1957) Influence of soil moisture content on the growth and yield of soybean. V. Changes of carbohydrate and nitrogen in soybean plant as affected by deficient or excessive soil moisture contents at various growing periods. *Crop Science Society of Japan Proceedings, 26,* 40-42 - [English **summary].**
- Fukutoku, Y. & Yamada, Y. (1981a) Sources of proline-nitrogen in water-stressed soybean *(Glycine mar* L.). I. Protein metabolism and proline accumulation. *Plant and Cell Physiology, 22.* $1397 - 1404$.
- Fukutoku, Y. & Yamada, Y. (1981b) Diurnalchanges in water potential and free amino acid contents of water-stressed and nonstressed soybean *(Glycine* mar, cultivar Akisengoku) plants. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition,* **27,** 195-204.
- Gardner, W.A., Phillips, D.V. & Smith, A.E. (1984) Effect of pinitol on the growth of *Heliothis zea* and *Trichoplusia ni* larvae. *Journal of Agricultural Entomology,* **1, 101** - 105.
- Gershenzon, J. (1984) Changes in the levels of plant secondary metabolites under water and nutrient stress. *Phytocherfiical Adaptations to Stress* (ed. by B. N. Timmermann, C. Steelink and F. A. Loewus), pp. 273-320. Plenum Press, New York.
- Gould, F. (1978) Resistance of cucumber varieties to *Tetrachynus urticae:* genetic and environmental determinants. *Journal of Economic Entomology,* **71,** 680-683.
- Hart, S.V., Kogan, M. & Paxton, J.D. (1983) Effects of soybean phytoalexins on the herbivorous insects Mexican bean beetle and soybean looper. Journal *of Chemical Ecology, 9,* 657-672.
- Hillel, D. (1980) *Fundamentah of Soil Physics.* Academic Press, New York.
- Hollander, M. & Wolfe, D.A. (1973) *Nonparametric Statistical Methods.* John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Hurlbert, S.H. (1984) Pseudoreplication and the design of ecological field experiments. *Ecological Monographs, 54,* 187-211.
- Jones, C.G., Haggard, M.P. & Blum, M.S. (1981) Pattern and process in insect feeding behavior: a quantitative analysis of the Mexican bean beetle, Epilachna varivestis. Entomologia Experimentalis *et Applicata,* 30,254-264
- Kennedy, J.S., Lamb, K.P. & Booth, C.O. (1958) Responses of *Aphis fabae* Scop. to water shortage in host plants in pots. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata,* **1,** 274-291.
- Kogan, **M.** (1971) Feeding and nutrition of insects associated with soybeans. 1. Growth and dcvclop mcnt of the Mexican bcan bcctlc, *Epiluchna varivestis,* on artificial media. *Annals of die Ento*mological Society of America, 64, 1044-1050.
- Kogan, M. (1972) Intakc and utilization of natural diets by thc Mcxican bcan beetle, *Epilachna vari* v estis, a multivariate analysis. *Insect and Mite Nutrition* (ed. by J. G. Rodriguez), pp. 107-126. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amstcrdam.
- Lewis, A.C. (1982) Conditions of feeding preference for wilted sunflower in the grasshopper *Melanoplus differentialis. Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Insect-Plant Relationships, Wageningen,* pp. 49-56. Pudoc, Wageningen.
- Lewis, A.C. (1984) Plant quality and grasshopper feeding: effects *of* sunflower condition on preference and performance in *Melanoplus differentialis. Ecology, 65,* 836-843.
- Mattson, W.J. & Haack, R.A. (1987a) The role of drought stress in provoking outbreaks of phytophagous insects. *Insect Outbreaks* (ed. by *P.* Barbosa and J. C. Schultz), pp. 365-410. Academic Press, New York.
- Mattson, W.J. & Haack, R.A. (1987b) The role **of** drought in outbreaks of plant-eating insects. *Bioscience,* 37, 110-118.
- McClure, P.R. & Israel, D.W. (1979) Transport of nitrogen in the xylem of soybean plants. *Plant Physiology. 64.* 411-416.
- McQuate, G.T. (1985) The effect **of** water-deficiencyinduced changes in soybean *(Glycine max)* leaves on the feeding preference, growth, and survival

of Mexican bean beetle *(Epilachna varivestis)* larvae. Ph.D. thesis, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia.

- McQuate, G.T. & Connor, E.F. (1990) Insect responses to plant water deficits. I. Effect of water deficits in soybean plants on the feeding preference of Mexican bean beetle larvae. *Ecological Entomology,* **15,** 419-431.
- Mellors, W.K. & Propts, S.E. (1983) Effects of fertilizer level, fertility balance, and soil moisture on interaction of two-spotted spidermites (Acarina: Tetranychidae) with radish plants. *Environmental Entomology,* **12,** 1239- 1244.
- Miles, P.W., Aspinall, D. & Correll, A.T. (1982a) The performance of **two** chewing insects on waterstressed food plants in relation to changes in their chemical composition. *Australian Journal of Zoology, 30,* 347-355.
- Miles, P.W., Aspinall, D. & Rosenburg, L. (1982b) Performance of the cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae* (L.), on water stressed rape plants, in ' relation to changes in their chemical composition. *Australian Journal of Zoology,* **30.** 337-345.
- O'Brien, P. & Kaiser, M.K. (1985) MANOVA method for analyzing rcpeatcd measures designs: an extensive primcr. *Psychological Bulletin, 97,* 316-333.
- Price, P.W. & Clancy, K.M. (1986) Multiple effects of precipitation on *Salk lasiolepis* and populations of the stem-galling sawfly *Euura lasiolepis. Ecological Research,* **1,** 1-14.
- Rock, G.C. (1972) Optimal proportions of dietary amino acids. *Insect and Mite Nutrition* (ed. by J. G. Rodriguez), pp. 183-197. North-Holland Publishing *Co.,* London.
- Scriber, J.M. (1977) Limiting effects of low leaf-water content on the nitrogen utilization, energy budget, and larval growth of *Hyalophora cercropia* (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae). *Oecologia, 28,* 269- 287.
- Scriber, J.M. (1979) Effects of leaf-water supplementation upon post ingestive nutritional indices of forb-, shrub-, vine-, and tree-feeding Lepidoptera. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata,* **25,** 240-252.
- Service, P.M. & Lenski, R.E. (1982) Aphid genotypes, plant phenotypes, and genetic diversity: a demographic analysis of experimental data. *Evolution, 36,* 1276-1282.
- Sidhu, H.S. & Kaur, P. (1976) The influence of water stress in the host plant on the reproduction of the mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kaltenbach). *Indian Journal of Entomology, 7,* 163-166.
- Singh, B.B. & Gupta, D.P. (1983) Proline accumulation and refative water content in soya bean

(Glycine max) varieties under water stress. *Annals of Botany, 52,* 109-110.

- **Sokal,** R.R. & Rohlf, F.J. (1981) *Biometry.* W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco.
- Waldren, R.P. & Teare, I.D. (1974) Free proline accumulation in drought stressed plants under laboratory conditions. *Plant and Soil,* **40,** 689-692.
- Waring, G.L. & Price, P.W. (1990) Plant water stress and gall formation (Cecidomyiidae: *Asphondylia* spp.) on creosot bush. *Ecological Entomology,* **15,** $87 - 95.$
- Watt, A.D. (1986) The performance of the pine beauty moth on water-stressed lodgepole pine plants: a laboratory experiment. *Oecologia, 70,* 578- 579.
- Wearing, C.H. (1967) Studies on the relations of insect and host plant. **11.** Effects of water stress in host plants on the fecundity of *Myzus persicae* (Sulz.) and *Brevicoryne brassicae* (L.). *Nature,* **213,** 1052-1053.
- Wearing, C.H. & van Ernden, H.F. (1967) Studies on the relations of insect and host plant. I. Effects of watcr strcss in host plants on infestation by *Aphis fabae* Scop., *Myzus persicae* (Sulz.), and *Brevicoryne brassicae* (L.). *Nature,* **213,** 1051- 1052.
- White, T.C.R. (1969) An index to measure weatherinduccd stress of trces associated with outbreaks of psyllids in Australia. *Ecology*, 50, 905-909.
- White, T.C.R. (1974) A hypothesis to explain outbreaks of looper caterpillars, with special reference to populations of *J'elidosema sunvis* in a plantation of *Pinus radiata* in New Zcaland. *Oecologia,* **16,** 279-301.
- White, T.C.R. (1976) Weather, food and plagues of locusts. *Oecologia,* **22,** 119-134.
- White, T.C.R. (1978) The importance of a relativc shortage of food in animal ecology. *Oecologia,* **33,** $71 - 86.$
- White, T.C.R. (1984) The abundancc of invertebrate herbivores in relation to the availability of nitrogen in stressed food plants. *Oecologia, 63,* 90-105.
- Wilson, K.G. & Stinner, R.E. (1984) A potential influence of rhizobium activity on the availability of nitrogen to legume herbivores. *Oecologia,* **61,** 337- 341.
- Wilson, K.G., Stinner, R.E. & Rabb, R.L. (1982) Effects of temperacure, relative humidity, and host plant on survival of the Mexican bean beetle, *Epilachna varivestis. Environmental Entomology,* **11,** 121-126.
- Winer, **B.J.** (1971) *Statistical Principles* of *Ekper*imental Design. McGraw-Hill, New York.

Accepted 24 November 1989