# On the status, synonymy and tribal position of *Phaeocoris* Jakovlev, 1887 (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae)

## D.A. Gapon & M. Baena

Gapon, D.A. & Baena, M. 2005. On the status, synonymy and tribal position of *Phaeocoris* Jakovlev, 1887 (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). *Zoosystematica Rossica*, **14**(1): 61-68.

*Phaeocoris* is resurrected from synonymy with *Dymantis. Lodosia* is synonymized with *Phaeocoris*, and *L. gonocoxa*, with *Ph. ellipticus*. The structure of the male and female genitalia of *Phaeocoris* shows that this genus does not belong to Sciocorini, but also its placement in Myrocheini is problematic.

D.A. Gapon, Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Universitetskaya nab. 1, St.Petersburg 199034, Russia. E-mail: TentatDAG@yandex.ru

M. Baena, Departamento de Biologí a y Geologí a, I.E.S. Trassierra, Avda. Arroyo del Moro s/n, 14011 Córdoba, España. E-mail: jsusin@chopo.pntic.mec.es

# Introduction

The tribal classification of Pentatominae is unclear and the limits and composition of some tribes are not well defined; further studies are necessary to elucidate the systematics of the subfamily.

The tribe Myrocheini Stål, 1876 was erected to accommodate the genus *Myrochea* Amyot & Serville and another six genera. The tribe was used or not by modern authors, e.g. Linnavuori (1975, 1982), Gross (1975), Cassis & Gross (2002), and its composition has changed with the inclusion or exclusion of some genera, in some cases without explication of these actions.

Ahmad & Afzal (1989) resurrected the tribe, provided a historical review of the group and a revision of the fauna from the Indo-Pakistan subcontinent. Ahmad et al. (1996) described the first Myrocheini from the Palaeartic Region, *Lodosia gonocoxa* Ahmad & Önder, 1996. This paper was initiated after the observation of the strong resemblance in habitus between *Lodosia gonocoxa* and the little known Moroccan species *Phaeocoris andreae* Vidal, 1941.

# Material and methods

The paper is based on material from the collection of the Zoological Institute, St.Petersburg, and specimens from the Linnavuori collection, Raisio, Finland.

The following species were examined.

Phaeocoris: Ph. ellipticus ellipticus Herrich-Schaeffer, Ph. e. alpicola Kiritschenko. Myrocheini: Dymantis grisea Jensen-Haarup, Delegorguella vittiventris Stål, Ennius ater (Dallas), Erachteus sp., Laprius varicornis (Dallas), Myrochea aculeata (Westwood).

Caystrini: Caystrus brunnescens (Distant), C. kivuanus Linnavuori, C. marginiventris (Stål), Neodius ellenriederi Breddin.

Sciocorini: Sciocoris capitatus Jakovlev, S. cursitans (Fabricius), S. deltocephalus Fieber, S. distinctus Fieber, Menaccarus arenicola Scholtz, M. deserticola Jakovlev, Tisia gracilis Hoberlandt, Dyroderes umbraculatus (Fabricius). Note: the structure of the male and female genitalia of Dyroderes (e.g. asymmetrical retractable conjunctiva) does not support its inclusion in the Sciocorini.

For the method used to inflate the aedeagi, see Gapon (2001). In the descriptions of the aedeagus structure, ventral and dorsal surfaces of aedeagal parts are distinguished according to their positions in the erected aedeagus (i.e. rotated through 180° as compared to the position in the non-copulating insect).

The following abbreviations are used in the figures: *a. b. pl* – apex of basal plate of phalobase; *a. con* – apex of conjunctiva; *a. pr* – apical processes of medial penal plates; *a. th* – apical part of theca; *b. pl* – basal plate of paramere; *b. pr* – basal process of paramere; *b. th* – basal part of theca; *c. pr* – capitate processes of phallobase; *con* – conjunctiva; *d* – dilation of spermathecal duct; *d. con* – dorsal connectives of phallobase; *d. pr* – dorsal processes of conjunctiva; *d. r. s* – dilations of ring sclerites of gynatrium; *fun* – funnel of spermathecal duct; *gyn. c* – gynatrial cone;

Character	Phaeocoris	Dymantis and other Myrocheini	Caystrini	Sciocorini (except Dyroderes)
Apex of paramere hypophysis( <i>hyp</i> )	Bell-shaped with, process on internal surface (Fig. 1)	Pointed (Fig. 2)		Pointed, sometimes flattened (Figs 3-5)
Conjunctiva at rest (con)	Lying inside the theca (Figs 6-7)			Lying outside the theca (Fig. 8)
Theca (b. th)	Not swollen (Fig. 6)	Swollen (Fig. 7)	Not swollen	Not swollen, <b>sharply</b> <b>divided into basal and</b> <b>apical part</b> (Fig. 8)
Medial plates: longitudinal bands and apical processes ( <i>l. bd, a. pr;</i> in Sciocorini, <i>m.p.p</i> )	Parallel to vesica (lying in parasagittal planes of aedeagus) (Figs 9-10)	Perpendicular to vesica (lying in frontal planes of aedeagus) (Fig. 11)	Longitudinal bands parallel to vesica (lying in parasagittal planes of aedeagus), apical processes lying in cross planes	Parallel to vesica (lying in parasagittal planes of aedeagus), <b>apical processes</b> <b>absent</b> (Fig. 12)
Ventrolateral lobes of conjunctiva (vl. l)	Basal to medial plates (Figs 9-10)	<b>Absent, on their place</b> <b>arc ventral sclerites</b> (Fig. 11, v. s)	Absent	Basal to medial plates (Fig. 12)
Apex of conjunctiva	Not differentiated (Figs 9-10, a. c)	With ventro-apical and long latero-apical lobes (lying apical to medial plates) (Fig. 11, va. l, la. l)	Not differentiated	Not differentiated (Fig. 12)
Dorsal processes of conjunctiva	Absent (Fig. 10)	Present (Fig. 11, d pr)		Absent
Vesica (ves)	Rather short (Figs 9-10)	Very short (Fig. 11)	Long	Very short, some- times long (Fig. 12)
Dilations of ring sclerites (d. r. s)	Present (Figs 13-14)			Absent (Fig. 15)
Dilated part of spermathecal duct	Not divided (Fig. 13, d) Divided into tubular and swollen part (Figs 14-15, <i>tub. d, sw. d</i> )			

Table. Characters of the male and female genitalia in some Pentatomidae (characteristic features given in bold).

*hyp* – hypophysis of paramere; *l. bd* – longitudinal bands of medial penal plates; *la. l* – lateroapical lobes of conjunctiva; *m. p. p* – medial plates of penis; *r. s* – ring sclerites of gynatrium; *res* – reservoir of spermatheca; *st* – stalk of paramere; *sw. d* – swollen part of dilation of spermathecal duct; *tub. d* – tubular part of dilation of spermathecal duct; *v. pr* – ventral processes of phallobase; *v. s* – ventral sclerites of conjunctiva; *v. sw* – ventral swellings of theca; *va. l* – ventro-apical lobe of conjunctiva; *ves* – vesica; *vl. l* – ventro-lateral lobes of conjunctiva. Scale lines in all figures correspond to 0.25 mm.

## Genus Phaeocoris Jakovlev, 1887, gen. dist.

Type species by monotypy: *Timuria melanocera* Horváth, 1903 (= *Aelia elliptica* Herrich-Schaeffer, 1840).

- Tancreisca Jensen-Haarup, 1937: 169 (syn. Kiritshenko, 1952: 154). Type species by original designation: Tancreisca breddini Jensen-Haarup, 1937 (= Aelia elliptica Herrich-Schaeffer, 1840).
- Lodosia Ahmad & Önder in Ahmad et al., 1996: 256, syn. n. Type species by original designation: Lodosia gonocoxa Ahmad & Önder, 1996 (= Aelia elliptica Herrich-Schaeffer, 1840).

Status and synonymy of Phaeocoris. Herrich-Schaeffer (1840) described Aelia elliptica from "Siberia". Stål (1865) considered this type locality erroneous and placed the species in the African genus Dymantis Stål, 1861 as a junior synonym of D. planus (Fabricius, 1803). Jakovlev (1887) described Phaeocoris for a new species Ph. semenowi from Altai; this name proved to be a junior synonym of Aelia elliptica. Phaeocoris was considered a separate genus until Kerzhner

Phaeocoris Jakovlev, 1887: 306. Type species by monotypy: Phaeocoris semenowi Jakovlev, 1887 (= Aelia elliptica Herrich-Schaeffer, 1840).

Timuria Horváth, 1903a: 402 (syn. Horváth, 1903b: 555).



Figs 1-5. Right paramere. 1, Phaeocoris ellipticus; 2, Dymantis grisea; 3, Sciocoris deltocephalus; 4, Tisia gracilis; 5, Menaccarus deserticola.

(1972) synonymized it with *Dymantis* Stål, 1861 on the basis of similar habitus.

Our observations show that Phaeocoris and Dymantis are not congeneric. Phaeocoris differs from Dymantis in the following external characters. The head is subtriangular in Phaeocoris, whereas it is elliptical in Dymantis. Antennal segments of Phaeocoris are thicker than those of Dymantis. The rostrum of Phaeocoris is slenderer, with segment 1 not reaching prosternum and segments 1 and 2 each shorter than segments 3 and 4 combined; in *Dymantis*, rostral segment 1 reaches or surpasses the fore margin of prosternum, segments 1 and 2 each are longer than segments 3 and 4 combined. Laminate edges of the head, pronotum and hemelytra are blunt in *Phaeocoris* and very sharp in *Dymantis*. The white longitudinal band on the head, pronotum and scutellum is narrower in Phaeocoris as compared to Dymantis. Fore femur of Dymantis bears a row of small, but distinct setiferous tubercles; in Phaeocoris, these tubercles are a few and hardly discernible. The posterior corners of connexival segments bear a small tooth in *Dymantis*, as distinct from Phaeocoris. The pygophore of *Phaeocoris* is without median projection as distinct from *Dymantis*. Even more essential differences are found in the structure of the male and female internal ectodermal genitalia (see the description below and the Table).

*Lodosia*, judging from the original description, does not differ from *Phaeocoris*, and, in our opinion, even their type species are synonymous.

*Male genitalia of Phaeocoris.* Paramere (Fig. 1) with very wide basal plate and long stalk. Basal process of paramere small, pointed at apex, curved toward midline of body, bearing several short setae. Apex of paramere hypophysis bell-shaped; the edge of this bell on internal surface of paramere with a process tapering to apex. Membrane connecting the paramere to the wall of pygophore with a sclerite (lateral plate).

Apices of basal plates of phallobase short, less than half as long as theca (Fig. 6). Dorsal edge of the phallobase bridge convex, angulate. Ventral processes of phallobase long and pointed at apex. Dorsal connectives rather long. Capitate processes with minute pedicels and narrowed dorsoventrally plates. Theca C-shaped, with almost paral-



Figs 6-8. Aedeagus in rest, ventral view. 6, Phaeocoris ellipticus; 7, Dymantis grisea; 8, Sciocoris deltocephalus.

lel lateral edges. Apical part of theca rather long, not clearly delimited from basal part. Thecal base with paired membranous ventral swellings. Conjunctiva with paired ventrolateral lobes directed laterad (Figs 9-10); their pointed apices bent towards each other. Apex of conjunctiva looking like a low dome. Dorsal processes absent. Medial plates of penis formed by short, adjoining longitudinal bands and wide apical processes parallel to vesica (lying in parasagittal planes of aedeagus). Vesica short, slightly extending beyond edges of apical processes.

*Female genitalia of Phaeocoris* (Fig. 13). Base of gynatrial cone with paired large dilations of ring sclerites directed caudad. Ring sclerite very small. Spermatheca begins from gynatrial cone by a thin and moderately long duct. This duct

transforms to very long membranous dilation not subdivided into two parts. Inside this dilation, there is a sclerotized invaginated funnel enveloping a thin duct, which, at some distance, opens in the spermathecal reservoir (bulb). Reservoir without diverticula.

Tribal position of Phaeocoris. The tribal position of the genus is a matter of controversy. Kirkaldy (1909) catalogued Phaeocoris in the broadly conceived tribe Pentatomini, but its synonym *Timuria*, in the tribe Sciocorini, in which it was placed by Horváth (1903). Dymantis, with which Phaeocoris was synonymized by Kerzhner (1972), belongs to Myrocheini, and Ahmad et al. (1996) placed Lodosia in Myrocheini.

Pentatominae with laminate sides of pronotum and a longitudinal furrow on mesosternum are



Figs 9-12. Completely inflated aedeagus. 9, 10, *Phaeocoris ellipticus* (9, ventral view; 10, lateral view); 11, *Dymantis grisea*, ventral view; 12, *Sciocoris deltocephalus*, ventral view.



Figs 13-15. Spermatheca, dorsal view. 13, Phaeocoris ellipticus; 14, Dymantis grisea; 15, Menaccarus deserticola.

subdivided into 3 tribes: Sciocorini, Myrocheini and Caystrini. Both characters mentioned are present in *Phaeocoris*. We did not find reliable external characters to separate these groups.

As shown in the Table, the structure of the male and female genitalia of *Phaeocoris* does not fit any of the 3 tribes. Most characters of this genus are plesiomorphic, shared with many groups of Pentatominae (position of the conjunctive at rest; shape of theca; structure of medial plates, lobes and apex of conjunctiva; absence of sclerotized plates on ventral side of conjunctiva; not divided swollen part of spermathecal duct); some characters are autapomorphic (e.g. structure of paramere). Unfortunately, our knowledge of Pentatominae genitalia is fragmentary, not sufficient for ultimate conclusions.

As temporary decision, we propose to retain *Phaeocoris* in Myrocheini, to which this genus is similar in external appearance. It is not improbable that *Phaeocoris* was among the ancestors of Myrocheini, but this cannot be confirmed currently.

*Host plants*. Specimens of *Ph. ellipticus* were collected mostly on the ground and under stones. A few specimens were collected from Poaceae, particularly *Stipa*. Much probably the bugs feed on fallen grains.

Species included. The genus includes two species.

#### Phaeocoris ellipticus ellipticus

(Herrich-Schaeffer, 1840) (Figs 1, 6, 9, 10, 13)

- Aelia elliptica Herrich-Schaeffer, 1840: 79. Syntypes: Siberia; lost.
- Phaeocoris semenowi Jakovlev, 1887: 308 (syn. Horváth, 1903b: 555). Holotype: o', Russia, Altai, Biya River; Zoological Institute, St.Petersburg.
- *Timuria melanocera* Horváth, 1903a: 403 (syn. Josifov & Kerzhner, 1967: 7). Syntypes: 9, Mongolia (Hangai) and China (Kuku-Nor); Hungarian Museum of Natural History, Budapest.
- Tancreisca breddini Jensen-Haarup, 1937: 170 (syn. Kerzhner, 1972: 366). Syntypes: o', 9, China (Kuku-Nor); Zoological Museum, Hamburg University.
- Lodosia gonocoxa Ahmad & Önder in Ahmad et al., 1996: 256, **syn. n.** Holotype: Q, Turkey, Erzincan, Ahmediya, 883 km E of Ankara; Department of Entomology, Ege University, Izmir-Bornova.

Synonymy. We did not examine the holotype of Lodosia gonocoxa, but judging from the very detailed original description, including the structure of the female genitalia, it does not differ from *Ph. ellipticus*. Therefore we consider these names synonymous. The type locality of *L. gonocoxa* is situated far from the previously known westernmost records of *Ph. ellipticus* (Central Kazakhstan), but some other steppe species of Heteroptera have similar disjunctive distribution with isolated ranges in Turkey or eastern part of Armenia and reaching in some cases to North Africa, e.g. Ochetostethus perepelovi Kerzhner, 1976 (Carapezza, 1997) or even the Iberian Peninsula like Trochiscocoris hemipterus Reuter, 1890 (Baena & Matocq, 1994). Carapezza recorded also an example on plants of the genus Salsola, S. gemmascens.

*Distribution.* Turkey (east), Kazakhstan (central and eastern part), Kyrgyzstan, Russia (southern Siberia from Altai to Transbaikalia), Mongolia, China (Xinjiang, Qinghai, Xizang). It is collected mostly in mountains and highlands.

# Phaeocoris ellipticus alpicola Kiritshenko, 1912

Phaeocoris alpicola Kiritshenko, 1912: 378 (downgraded by Kerzhner, 1972: 367). Holotype: 9, Tajikistan, Zeravshan Range, Say-Narvat; Zoological Institute, St.Petersburg.

*Diagnosis.* This subspecies is not clearly differentiated from the nominotypical one. It differs in the smaller and narrower body and the structure of the paramere, but there is a clinal variability in all characters (see Kerzhner, 1972). *Distribution.* Tajikistan; in mountains.

## Phaeocoris andreae Vidal, 1941

Phaeocoris andreae Vidal, 1941: 37; 1949: 139. Syntypes: o', Q, Morocco, Middle Atlas, Bou Iblane.

*Diagnosis*. Judging by the original description, the species differs from *Ph. ellipticus* only in the longer head (its length is equal to width) and less transverse pronotum.

*Distribution.* Morocco. The two syntypes were collected at the height of 3000 m close to snow. The types are not examined; if extant, they are preserved in the collection of J.P. Vidal in the Institute Cherifien in Rabat. No other specimens were reported.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. I.M. Kerzhner (St.Petersburg) for the help in preparation of this paper, Dr. R. Linnavuori (Raisio, Finland) for the loan of material of Phaeocoris and African Myrocheini, and Dr. C. Schaefer (Storrs, Conn., USA) for advice. The collection of Zoological Institute, St.Petersburg, is supported by the Russian Federal Agency for Science and Innovations (state contract no. 02.452.12.7111).

## References

Ahmad, I. & Afzal, M. 1989. A revision of the Myrocheini (Pentatomidae: Pentatominae) from Indo-Pakistan area. Oriental Insects, 23: 243-268.

- Ahmad, I., Schaefer, C.W. & Önder, F. 1996. The first species of Myrocheini (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae: Pentatominae) from the Palaearctic region. *Europ. J. Entomol.*, 93: 255-262.
- Baena, M. & Matocq, A. 1994. Trochiscocoris hemipterus Reuter, 1890, un nuevo pentatómido para la fauna Ibérica. (Heteroptera, Pentatomidae). Zool. Baetica, 5: 3-9.
- Carapezza, A. 1997. Heteroptera of Tunisia. Il Naturalista Siciliano, 21, suppl A: 1-331.
- Cassis, G. & Gross, G.F. 2002. Hemiptera: Heteroptera (Pentatomorpha) In Houston, W.W.K. & Wells, A. (Eds.). Zoological catalogue of Australia, 27.3B. Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing. xiv + 737 p.
- Gapon, D.A. 2001. Inflation of heteropteran aedeagi using microcapillaries (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). Zoosyst. Ross., 9(1) (2000): 157-160.
- Gross, G.F. 1975. Plant feeding and other bugs (Hemiptera) of South Australia. Heteroptera – Part I. South Australia: A.B. James. 250 p.
- Herrich-Schaeffer, G.A.W. 1840. Die wanzenartigen Insecten, 5: 61-108. Nürnberg: Zeh.
- Horváth, G. 1903a. Pentatomidae novae extraeuropaeae. Ann. hist.-natur. Mus. nat. Hung., 1: 400-409.
- Horváth, G. 1903b. Adnotationes synonymicae de Hemipteris palaearcticis. Ann. hist.-natur. Mus. nat. Hung., 1: 555-558.
- Jakovlev, V.E. 1887. New Pentatomidae of the Russian-Asiatic fauna. *Horae Soc. entomol. Ross.*, 21: 297-311. (In Russian and German).

- Jensen-Haarup, A.C. 1937. Einige neue Pentatomidenarten aus den Sammlungen des Zoologischen Museums in Hamburg (Hem. het.). Entomol. Rundschau, 54: 169-171, 321-324.
- Josifov, M. & Kerzhner, I.M. 1967. Heteroptera von der Mongolischen Volksrepublik. *Fragm. faun.*, 14: 1-15.
- Kerzhner, I.M. 1972. New and little-known Heteroptera from Mongolia and from adjacent regions of the USSR. I. Nasekomye Mongolii, 1: 349-379. (In Russian).
- Kiritshenko, A.N. 1912. Hemiptera-Heteroptera turanica nova. I. Rev. Russe Entomol., 12: 377-389.
- Kiritshenko, A.N. 1952. New and little-known bugs (Hemiptera-Heteroptera) from Tajikistan. *Trudy zool. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 10: 140-198. (In Russian).
- Kirkaldy, G.W. 1909. Catalogue of the Hemiptera (Heteroptera). 1, Cimicidae. Berlin: Dames. xl + 392 p.
- Stål, C. 1865. *Hemiptera Africana*, 1 (1864). Holmiae: Norstedt. iv + 256 p.
- Vidal, J.P. 1941. Deux nouvelles espèces d'Hémiptères Hétéroptères du Maroc. Bull. Soc. entomol. France, 46: 39-40.
- Vidal, J.P. 1949. Hémiptères de l'Afrique du Nord et des pays circum-méditerranéens. Mém. Soc. Sci. natur. Maroc, 48: 1-238.

Received 15 June 2005