# On taxonomy and distribution of some Palaearctic and Oriental Largidae and Pyrrhocoridae (Heteroptera)

# J. Stehlík & I.M. Kerzhner

Stehlík, I. & Kerzhner, I.M. 1999. On taxonomy and distribution of some Palaearctic and Oriental Largidae and Pyrrhocoridae (Heteroptera). Zoosystematica Rossica, 8(1): 121-128.

The following new synonymies, new combinations and changes of rank or status are established: LARGIDAE: Physopelta Amyot & Serville, 1843 = Neophysopelta Ahmad & Abbas, 1987; Physopelta typica (Distant, 1903), sp. dist. (not syn. of Ph. villosa Bredd.); PYRRHOCORIDAE: Aderrhis lugubris (Distant, 1903), comb. n., A. erebus (Distant, 1909), comb. n., A. pakistanensis (Ahmad & Abbas, 1986) comb. n. (all from Dermatinus); Dindymus sanguineus (Fabricius, 1794), sp. dist. (not syn. of D. rubiginosus F.); Dysdercus subg. Leptophthalmus Stål, 1870, stat. n. (= Megadysdercus Breddin, 1900); Dysdercus fuscomaculatus Stål, 1863 = D. mesiostigma Distant, 1888 = D. monostigma Kirby, 1891; D. micropygus Breddin, 1909, sp. dist. (not syn. of D. koenigii F.) = D. similis Freeman, 1947; Melamphaus faber (Fabricius, 1787) = M. komodoensis Kiritshenko, 1963; Pyrrhocoris apterus (Linnaeus, 1758) = P. pseudoapterus Ahmad & Perveen, 1986; Pyrrhocoris sibiricus Kuschakewitsch, 1866 = Dermatinus reticulatus Signoret, 1881 = Scantius formosanus Bergroth, 1914; Scantius forsteri (Fabricius, 1781) = S. pallens Distant, 1903 = S. coriaceus Distant, 1911 = S. neopallens Ahmad & Abbas, 1986 = S. distanti Ahmad & Zaidi, 1989; S. obscurus Distant, 1901 = S. pseudobscurus Ahmad & Zaidi, 1989. Ascopocoris nom. n. is proposed for Ascopus Hsiao, 1964 (nom. praeocc.). Some lectotypes designations and new records are included.

J. Stehlík, Department of Entomology, Moravian Museum, Preslova 1, 60200 Brno, Czech Republic.

I.M. Kerzhner, Zoological Istitute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Universitetskaya nab. 1, St. Petersburg 199034, Russia.

This paper is mainly a by-product of preparation of chapters on Largidae and Pyrrhocoridae for the "Catalogue of the Heteroptera of the Palaearctic Region". The following abbreviations are used for collections: DEI – Deutsches Entomologisches Institut, Eberswalde, Germany; HNHM – Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest; MM – Moravian Museum, Brno, Czech Republic; ZIN – Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St.Petersburg, Russia.

#### Family LARGIDAE

#### Macrocheraia grandis (Gray, 1832)

Lygaeus grandis Gray, 1832: 242. Macroceroea longicornis Spinola, 1837: 177.

Spinola (1837) described *M. longicornis* from one male ("la mâle m'a été envoyé par

M. Buquet"). In the collection of M. Spinola (Casale, 1982: 68 and photograph on p. 15), there are 2  $\sigma$  and 2  $\varphi$  with a place label "Macrocheraia longicornis Lefebvre, Java, Buquet". The male on the right is apparently the holotype of M. longicornis as it is the only specimen having the 4th antennal segment preserved (Spinola gave the length of this segment), and the small additional label "India, Buquet" seen at the photograph apparently refers to this specimen.

#### Physopelta Amyot & Serville, 1843

- Physopelta Amyot & Serville, 1843: 271. Type species by subsequent designation (Hussey, 1929: 28): Physopelta erythrocephala Amyot & Serville, 1843 (= Cimex albofasciatus De Geer, 1773).
- Neophysopelta Ahmad & Abbas, 1987: 132, 134, syn. n. Type species by monotypy: Cimex slanbuschii Fabricius, 1787.

Ahmad & Abbas (1987) examined two of the about 30 species of the genus *Physopelta*: Ph. gutta (Burmeister, 1834) and Ph. slanbuschii (Fabricius, 1787). The remaining species, including Ph. albofasciata (De Geer, 1773), the type species of *Physopelta*, were not examined. Based on differences of the two examined species, Ahmad & Abbas established for Ph. slanbuschii a new genus Neophysopelta distinguished by the bright red coloration, shorter antennal segment 1 (about as long as head), presence of black stiff hairs on body (on head and margins of pronotum), spermatheca with long coiled duct and slightly different shape of paramere. Examination of several species additional to those examined by Ahmad and Abbas shows that all mentioned characters are variable within the genus. As noted by Stehlík (1965b), despite diversity of the species included in *Physopelta*, it is difficult to divide it into natural groups. We consider that splitting of the genus is premature and place Neophysopelta in synonymy with Physopelta.

#### Physopelta (Physopelta) parviceps Blöte, 1931

#### Physopelta parviceps Blöte, 1931: 100.

Ph. parviceps was described from Japan. Examination of specimens from Japan and Taiwan, some of which were examined by J.S. in MM and the other kindly sent to I.M.K. by Dr M. Tomokuni (Tokyo), shows that records of Ph. cincticollis Stål from these countries should be referred to Ph. parviceps. Ph. parviceps differs from Ph. cincticollis in the narrower vertex, longer and slenderer antennae and tibiae, noticeably slender 2nd antennal segment slightly clavate at apex only (in Ph. cincticollis, 2nd segment shorter, more stout, and evenly thickened), red base of clavus and corium (in *Ph. cincticollis*, black), smaller black spot on corium, and dark red legs (in Ph. cincticollis, black).

# Physopelta subg. Delacampius Distant, 1903, stat. n.

Delacampius Distant, 1903b: 252. Type species by use of "typicus": Delacampius typicus Distant, 1903.

Taeuber (1927: 179) and Blöte (1931: 101) suspected that *Delacampius* is merely a subgenus of *Physopelta*. Stehlik (1965b: 288) synonymized these genera, because the distinguishing character of *Delacampius* given by Blöte ("the anterior area [of pronotum] is separated from the lateral edge by a row of very coarse points") is found also in some large species (*Ph. quadriguttata* Bergroth, *Ph. cincticollis* Stål, etc., as well as species from Africa and Madagascar). However, detailed analysis, including the character of antennae not mentioned till now, shows that *Delacampius* should be considered as a subgenus. It may be differentiated from the nominotypical subgenus by the following combination of characters.

Small species, up to 9 mm; strongly pilose. Paranota in their middle much more constricted. Clavus with four rows of punctures only (in *Physopelta* s. str., punctures in distal part of clavus numerous and irregular). Posterior lobe of pronotum very coarsely punctured. 3rd antennal segment short, 4th segment rather thick, twice as long as 3rd. Sometimes brachypterous (*Physopelta* s. str. always macropterous). Distribution: Oriental Region and N Australia.

The following species belong to this subgenus: *Ph. typica* (Distant), *Ph. militaris* (Distant), *Ph. seria* Breddin, *Ph. villosa* Breddin, *Ph. flavipes* Taeuber, *Ph. hirta* (Blöte).

# Physopelta (Delacampius) typica

(Distant, 1903), sp. dist.

#### Delacampius typicus Distant, 1903b: 253.

Blöte (1931: 101) placed *D. typicus* described from Malaysia in synonymy with *Physopelta villosa* Breddin, 1901 from Sumatra. Comparison by J.S. of specimens of *Ph. typica* from Malaysia with specimens of *Ph. villosa* from Sumatra and Philippines shows that these species are distinct: *Ph. typica* is smaller, with more convex lateral margin of corium, it differs also in the punctation of clavus and length of antennal segments.

#### Family PYRRHOCORIDAE

#### Aderrhis lugubris (Distant, 1903), comb. n.

#### Dermatinus lugubris Distant, 1903a: 115.

Material examined (ZIN). India: 1 9, Chikkaballapura [= Chik Ballapur], T.V. C[ampbell], identified as "Dermatinus lugubris Dist.".

Stehlík (1965a: 241) noted under the genus *Aderrhis*: "I found that it will be necessary to transfer most of the species of the genus *Dermatinus* Stål to this genus. With certainty,

the genus *Dermatinus* comprises *D. lugens* Stål (type by monotypy) and *D. limbifer* Stål". Ahmad & Mohammad (1967) apparently confused *D. lugens* Stål with *D. lugubris* Dist. and ascribed to Stehlík the opinion that *D. lugubris* refers to *Dermatinus*. Actually, *D. lugubris* and the two closely related Asian species (see below) refer to *Aderrhis*. *D. lugubris* is distributed in India.

Aderrhis erebus (Distant, 1903), comb. n.

Dermatinus erebus Distant, 1909: 360.

This species is recorded from India and Sri Lanka.

Aderrhis pakistanensis (Ahmad & Abbas, 1986), comb. n.

Dermatinus pakistanensis Ahmad & Abbas, 1986: 71; Ahmad & Mohammad, 1997: 329.

Material examined (ZIN). Afghanistan (new record): 1 o<sup>\*</sup>, Jelalabad, Kabul River, 18.XII.1970 (O.N. Kabakov).

The species was described from Pakistan (Baluchistan).

#### Ascopocoris nom. n.

Ascopus Hsiao, 1964: 402, 405 (junior homonym of Ascopus Marshall, 1951, Coleoptera). Type species by original designation: Ascopus rufus Hsiao, 1964.

As the name *Ascopus* Hsiao is a junior homonym, it must be replaced.

#### **Dindymus rubiginosus (Fabricius**, 1787)

Cimex rubiginosus Fabricius, 1787: 301.

Dindymus rubiginosus var. geniculatus Breddin, 1901b: 19, 82.

Lectotype of *Dindymus rubiginosus* var. geniculatus Breddin, 1901 (designated here; DEI): male, pinned, labelled "Nord-Celebes, Toli-Toli, Nov.-Dez. 1895, H. Fruhstorfer", "coll. Breddin"; paralectotypes: 3 females, as lectotype, but one of them with additional label "var. geniculatus" handwritten by Breddin. The structure of the male genitalia does not differ from that of males from Vietnam.

## Dindymus sanguineus (Fabricius, 1794), sp. dist.

Lygaeus sanguineus Fabricius, 1794: 155.

Contrary to Bergroth (1914) and later authors, this is a separate species, not a variety or subspecies of *D. rubiginosus*. The two species can be easily distinguished by the coloration of the ventral side of thorax: in *D. rubiginosus*, hind margins of all thoracic segments, including the covers of coxae behind and before the coxal slit, are white; in *D. sanguineus*, only the hind margin of the metathorax is white. In *D. rubiginosus*, the middle part of the distal margin of pygophore is almost vertical, margins with larger denticles; in *D. sanguineus*, the distal margin of pygophore almost horizontal, in the middle archedly curved, with small black denticles. The distribution ranges of the two species are widely overlapping.

Dysdercus subg. Leptophthalmus Stål, 1870, stat. n.

Leptophthalmus Stål, 1870: 103, 124 (as genus). Type species by monotypy: *Dysdercus fuscomaculatus* Stål, 1863.

Megadysdercus Breddin, 1900: 162 (as subgenus of Dysdercus) (syn. Schmidt, 1932: 278). Type species by monotypy: Dysdercus mesiostigma Distant, 1888 (= Dysdercus fuscomaculatus Stål, 1863).

Schmidt (1932) placed Megadysdercus in synonymy with Leptophthalmus considered by him as a genus. This synonymy remained unnoticed by other authors who considered Megadysdercus merely as a species group (Freeman, 1947) or a subgenus of Dysdercus (Stehlik, 1965b; Doesburg, 1968). Following to this general opinion, Leptophthalmus is given here subgeneric rank.

#### Dysdercus (Leptophthalmus) fuscomaculatus Stål, 1863

Dysdercus fuscomaculatus Stål, 1863: 402. Dysdercus mesiostigma Distant, 1888: 484, syn. n. Dysdercus monostigma Kirby, 1891: 104, syn. n.

Material examined (ZIN). 10 specimens from Indonesia.

Comparison of the original description of D. fuscomaculatus with detailed description of D. mesiostigma by Freeman (1947) shows that they are conspecific. Freeman (1947) listed examined specimens of D. mesiostigma from Amoy (type locality of D. fuscomaculatus).

Kirby (1981) described *Dysdercus mono*stigma in the following sentence: "[*Dindymus* sita sp. n.] much resembes a species from Hong Kong, Philippines, Dorey &c., ticketed *Dysdercus monostigma* by Walker; but all the specimens of the latter species are much longer and narrower, with the antennae black, or with penultimate segment, and sometimes also the basal ones, inclining to red; and there is generally a black line at the base of scutellum". Hussey (1929) considered D. monostigma as a nomen nudum ("Without description"), but actually a short description was given and it fits D. fuscomaculatus. It is possible that the respective specimens were actually labelled by Distant as "mesiostigma" (not as monostigma) or that Distant used Walker's manuscript name, but slightly changed it when he described his species. An additional proof for synonymy is the fact that specimens from Hong Kong and Dorey (as Dore), presumably those examined by Kirby, were listed by Freeman (1947) under D. mesiostigma in the examined material from the British Museum.

#### **Dysdercus (Leptophthalmus) philippinus** Herrich-Schaeffer, 1850

merrien-Benaener, 1050

Judging by the accompanying photographs (Takara, 1957; Yasunaga et al., 1993), the records of this species from Japan (Ryukyu Islands) and Taiwan are based on misidentifications of *D. decussatus*.

## Dysdercus (Paradysdercus) koenigii

(Fabricius, 1775)

Material examined (ZIN). About 100 specimens from India and Afghanistan.

Kiritshenko (1963a) recorded "Dysdercus melanopygus Bredd." from Afghanistan. No such name was given by Breddin. Examination of the respective specimens and additional material shows that the species occuring in Afghanistan is actually D. koenigii.

#### **Dysdercus (Paradysdercus) micropygus** Breddin, 1909, sp. dist.

Dysdercus micropygus Breddin, 1909: 299. Dysdercus similis Freeman, 1947: 405, syn. n.

Material examined. 13 specimens from India and Sri Lanka in ZIN and 3 type specimens of *D. micropygus* from Sri Lanka in DEI (see below).

Breddin (1909) described *D. micropygus* from Sri Lanka. Bergroth (1914: 355) placed this name in synonymy with *D. koenigii* (Fabricius, 1775). As characters given by Breddin (small size; head more red than the remainder of dorsum) agreed with the subsequently described *D. similis* from S India and Sri Lanka, I.M.K. examined the lectotype of *D. micropygus* designated by Gaedike (1971), a male from Anuradhapura, and two paralectotypes, females from Anuradhapura and Weligama (DEI). Their examination shows that *D. micropygus* is not a junior synonym of *D. koenigii*, but a senior synonym of *D. similis*.

#### **Dysdercus (Paradysdercus) poecilus** (Herrich-Schaeffer, 1843)

Pyrrhocoris poecilus Herrich-Schaeffer, 1843: 17. Dysdercus poecilus var. semifuscus Breddin, 1901a: 85.

Lectotype of Dysdercus poecilus var. semi*fuscus* Breddin, 1901 (designated here; DEI): male, carded, labelled "Iolo" [handwritten by Breddin], "coll. Breddin", "Taeuber det."; paralectotype (DEI): male, carded, labelled "Palawan" [handwritten by Breddin], "Coll. Breddin", "Taeuber", "Dysdercus poecilus H.-S. v. semifuscus Bredd." [handwritten, probably by Taeuber]. There is a third specimen from Breddin's collection labelled "Borneo" (not in Breddin's handwriting). Probably it does not belong to the type series because for the single specimen from Borneo mentioned in the original description the locality was given precisely (Kina Balu). The types of another variety, var. simplex Breddin, 1901, were not found in DEI (R. Gaedike, pers. comm.).

#### Euscopus chinensis Blöte, 1932

Material examined (HNHM). Taiwan (new record): 1  $\sigma$ , 7  $\varsigma$ , Fuhosho, Chip Chip and Polisha (Sauter).

#### Melamphaus faber (Fabricius, 1787)

Cimex faber Fabricius, 1787: 297.

Melamphaus komodoensis Kiritshenko, 1963b: 63, syn. n.

Material examined (ZIN). Indonesia: 1 o, 1 o, Komodo Is., 1962 (Darevsky), syntypes of *M. komodoensis*; 2 o, Java, Bohor, botanical garden, 5.V.1960 (Krivolutskaya); 1 o, 2 o, on ship, 200 mi. S of Sumatra, 21.IX.1959 (Ivanov); Philippines: 1 o, Palawan, 1898, Doherty, ex coll. Fruhstorfer.

Lectotype of *M. komodoensis* (designated here):  $\sigma$ , pinned, labels "Ins. Komodo, 1962, Darevsky" [handwritten by Kiritshenko], "*Melamphaus komodensis* [sic] Kir. [handwritten by Kiritshenko] Kiritshenko det. [printed]". Paralectotype: 1  $\varphi$ , as lectotype, but 2.VIII.1962. The types of *M. komodoensis* belong to the dark form of the species (var. c) as described by Distant (1903). As shown by Schmidt (1932), it is the typical form of the species.

#### Pyrrhocoris apterus (Linnaeus, 1758)

Cimex apterus Linnaeus, 1758: 447.

- Pyrrhocoris sordidus Jakovlev, 1880: 160 (syn. Kiritshenko, 1912: 205).
- Pyrrhocoris pseudoapterus Ahmad & Perveen, 1986a: 77, syn. n.

Material examined (ZIN): several thousands of specimens.

*P. sordidus* was described from several specimens from Iran (Shahkuh). Lectotype (designated here; ZIN): brachypterous o', carded, labelled with golden square, "Shaku" (in Russian; handwritten by Jakovlev), golden circle, "*Pyrrhocoris sordidus* Jak. (handwritten by Kiritshenko) B. Jakowlew det.". Paralectotypes (ZIN): 2 brachypterous Q, one carded, the other pinned, labelled with "Shaku" (in Russian; handwritten by Jakovlev), golden circle (in the pinned specimen also a golden square), "c[ollection of] V. Jakovlev".

*P. pseudoapterus* was described from Iraq and Iran. It was distinguished from *P. apterus* mainly by the slightly concave hind margin of the male genital segment. Examination of large series of *P. apterus* from various countries, including several dozens of males from Iraq and Iran, shows that this character is individually variable. Some specimens (not only from Iraq and Iran) have a more or less distinct minute concavity at the hind margin of the genital segment, whereas the other, from the same localities, have no trace of concavity. We place therefore *P. pseudoapterus* in synonymy with *P. apterus*.

#### Pyrrhocoris fuscopunctatus Stål, 1858

The species is recorded here for the first time from China: 1  $\sigma$ , Qinghai, northern slope of Burhan Budai Shan, Khatu Valley, end of June – beginning of July 1901, Kozlov leg. (ZIN).

#### Pyrrhocoris sibiricus Kuschakewitsch, 1866

Pyrrhocoris sibiricus Kuschakewitsch, 1866: 98.

- Pyrrhocoris fieberi Kuschakewitsch, 1866: 97 (syn. Josifov & Kerzhner, 1978: 155).
- Pyrrhocoris dispar Jakovlev, 1880: 161 (syn. Horváth, 1889: 326).

Dermatinus reticulatus Signoret, 1881: 46, syn. n. Scantius formosanus Bergroth, 1914: 356, syn. n.

Material examined (ZIN): about 700 specimens from Russia, Mongolia, China, Taiwan, Korea and Japan.

Kuschakewitsch (1866) described P. sibiricus from several specimens collected at Kyakhta, Transbaikal. He mentioned also that he examined a larva of this species which was smaller and darker than imago. In the ZIN, there are 2 specimens from the collection of Kuschakewitsch. As lectotype is designated here a macropterous female without antennae and most legs, pinned, labelled with a golden circle and printed label "c[ollection of] Kuschakewitsch" (in Russian). The other specimen (paralectotype) is a brachypterous female in good condition (except antennal segments 2-4 are missing) mounted and labelled as the lectotype but with additional label "Kiachta" (handwritten by pencil at rosa paper). It is apparently the specimen mentioned by Kuschakewitsch as a larva. It is not conspecific with the lectotype and belongs to P. fuscopunctatus Stål, 1858.

*P. fieberi* was described from (apparently one) male from Amur. No type specimens are found in ZIN. Apparently they are lost.

*P. dispar* was described from Japan. Lectotype (designated here; ZIN): subbrachypterous female with antennae and legs partly missing, pinned, labelled with golden circle, "Japan (in Russian), sibiricus Kusch." (handwritten by Jakovlev), "Pyrrhocoris dispar Jak. (handwritten by Kiritshenko) B. Jakowlew det. (printed)", "Pyrrhocoris tibialis Stål (handwritten by Kiritschenko) B. Jakowlew det. (printed)", "c[ollection of] B. Jakovlev" (in Russian, printed).

D. reticulatus was described from "China" and placed by subsequent authors in Pyrrhocoris or Scantius. The original description fits well P. sibiricus.

S. formosanus was described from 1 of and 1 of from Formosa (= Taiwan) and compared with D. reticulatus. The types kept in DEI were examined by I.M.K. Lectotype (designated here): subbrachypterous male, pinned, labelled "Anping, Formosa, H. Sauter, 1911", "7.V", "TYPUS" [red label], "Bergroth det.", "Syntypus" [red label]; paralectotype: subbrachypterous female, pinned, labelled "Anping, Formosa, H. Sauter, VI.11", "Bergroth det.", "TYPUS" [red label], "Scantius formosanus Bergr. – Typ." [handwritten by Bergroth], "Syntypus" [red label]. Both specimens are extremely small (length 6.8 mm, the range of variability in the species is 6.8-11.0 mm). An additional specimen from Taiwan, a subbrachypterous male labelled "Formose", "Clermont Vend." is kept in ZIN, it is 7.5 mm long.

#### Pyrrhopeplus carduelis (Stål, 1863)

Material examined (HNHM). Taiwan (new record): 1 9, Kosempo, VII.1909 (Sauter).

#### Scantius forsteri (Fabricius, 1781)

Cimex forsteri Fabricius, 1781: 368.

Scantius volucris Gerstaecker, 1873: 413 (syn. Distant, 1911: 98, suspected; Stehlík, 1965a: 246).

Scantius pallens Distant, 1903a: 117, syn. n. Scantius coriaceus Distant, 1911: 99, syn. n. Scantius neopallens Ahmad & Abbas, 1986: 77, syn. n. Scantius distanti Ahmad & Zaidi, 1989: 156, 160, syn. n.

Material examined (ZIN): about 180 specimens from tropical Africa, Egypt, Yemen, Israel, Iran (including a large series from Bushir), Pakistan (Rawalpindi, 1  $\circ$ ) and India (Chik Ballapur, 1  $\circ$ ; New Delhi, 1  $\circ$ ). Additional material, including specimens from Nepal (new record) was examined by J.S.

S. forsteri is a widely distributed and very variable species. Its variability in the Afrotropical Region was discussed by Stehlik (1965a) who placed S. volucris from East Africa in synonymy with S. forsteri. The specimens from Egypt, Near East, Arabian Peninsula, Iraq, Iran and Pakistan are usually relatively large and with larger red areas, as characteristic for specimens from semiarid habitats, those from Central and Southern India are on average smaller and darker. Distant (1903a) first identified specimens from India as S. volucris, then (1911) as S. forsteri; he suspected that S. volucris is a synonym of S. forsteri, and his suspicion was confirmed by Stehlík (1965a). Distant described paler specimens from Pakistan (Sind) as S. pallens and a dark specimen from India as S. coriaceus. S. pallens was subsequently recorded from N India and S Iran (Bushir) by Lefroy (1909) and Blöte (1931) respectively. The paler form was described again from Pakistan (Baluchistan) as S. neopallens Ahmad & Abbas, 1986. An additional new species, S. distanti, was described by Ahmad & Zaidi (1989) from Pakistan and India proceeding from an obscure statement by Blöte and erroneous measurements made by them on specimens from Africa identified as S. volucris. Examination of large material shows that Distant and Ahmad with coauthors overestimated minor differences in coloration and proportions as characterizing separate species. It can be also suspected that some measurements by Ahmad & Zaidi are unexact. So, these authors stated that in the examined two males of S. volucris collected by C. Cooke and kept in the American Museum of Natural History the 2nd antennal segment is as long as 3rd and body length equals 11 mm. Dr R.T. Schuh of the above museum informed us that there are four males collected by Cooke in Zanzibar and identified as S. volucris by Hussey; their length is 9 mm and the 2nd antennal segment is 1.6 times as long as 3rd.

#### Scantius obscurus Distant, 1901

Scantius obscurus Distant, 1901: 589.

Scantius pseudobscurus Ahmad & Zaidi, 1989: 156, 168, syn. n.

Material examined (ZIN). Sri Lanka: 2 or, 3 9, Nat. Park Wilpattu, Talawila, 13 km W Maradanmaduwa, 7-9.X.1982 (Medvedev & Zaitzev); 1 9, 8 km SW of Anuradhapura, 10.X.1982 (Medvedev).

This species, endemic to Sri Lanka, is very closely related to *S. forsteri* from which it differs in the uniformly castaneous to black body; no differences are found in the structure of the male genitalia. Ahmad & Zaidi (1989) indicated that the holotype of *S. pseudobscurus* is in the U.S. National Museum, Washington, DC, but it is not found here (Th.J. Henry, pers. comm.).

#### Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Dr R. Gaedike (Eberswalde) for the loan of type specimens from DEI and to Dr M. Tomokuni (Tokyo) for sending specimens for examination. Copies of some rare publications for this study were received from Yu.A. Popov (Moscow). The work was fulfilled in part using the scientific collections of the Zoological Institute, St.Petersburg, which obtain financial support from the Science and Technology Ministry of the Russian Federation (Reg. No. 99-03-16).

#### References

- Ahmad, I. & Abbas, N. 1986. Pyrrhocoris group (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae: Pyrrhocorinae) with description of a new genus and a new species from Indo-Pakistan subcontinent and their relationships. Türk. Bitki Koruma Derg., 10(2): 67-87.
- Ahmad, I. & Abbas, N. 1987. A revision of the family Largidae (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoroidea) with description of a new genus from Indo-Pakistan

subcontinent and their relationships. Türk. Entomol. Derg., 11(3): 131-142.

- Ahmad, I. & Mohammad, F.A. 1997. A revision of the genus *Dermatinus* Stål (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae) from Indo-Pakistan subcontinent and their relationships. *Acta entomol. Mus. nat. Pragae*, 44, (1995): 327-334.
- Ahmad, I. & Perveen, R. 1986. A new species of the genus *Pyrrhocoris* Fallen (Pyrrhocoroidea: Heteroptera) from Iraq and Iran with phylogenetic relationships. *Pakistan J. Entomol.*, 1(2): 77-80.
- Ahmad, I. & Zaidi, R.H. 1989. A revision of the genus Scantius Stål (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae) from Indo-Pakistan subcontinent with description of three new species and their relationships. Pakistan J. Zool., 21: 153-173.
- Amyot, C.J.B. & Serville, J.G.A. 1843. Histoire naturelle des Insectes Hémiptères: i-lxxvi, 1-675, 1-6. Roret, Paris.
- Bergroth, E. 1914. H. Sauter's Formosa-Ausbeute; Hemiptera Heteroptera I. Aradidae, Pyrrhocoridae, Myodochidae, Tingidae, Reduviidae, Ochteridae. Entomol. Mitt., 3: 353-364.
- Blöte, H.C. 1931. Catalogue of the Pyrrhocoridae in 's Rijks Museum van Natuurlijke Historie. Zool. Meded., Leiden, 14: 97-136.
- Breddin, G. 1900. Hemiptera, gesammelt von Prof. Kükenthal im Malayischen Archipel. Abhandl. Senckenberg. naturforsch. Ges., 25: 139-202.
- Breddin, G. 1901a. Lygaeidae et Pyrrhocoridae novae malesiae. Wien. entomol. Ztg., 20: 81-85.
- Breddin, G. 1901b. Die Hemiptera von Celebes. Zur Faunistik der Insel. Abh. naturf. Ges. Halle, 24: 1-198.
- Breddin, G. 1909. Rhynchoten von Ceylon gesammelt von Dr. Walter Horn. Ann. Soc. entomol. Belg., 53: 250-309.
- Casale, A. 1982. Collezione Emitterologica di Massimiliano Spinola. Mus. reg. Sci. nat. Torino, Cataloghi, 2 (1981): 1-120.
- Distant, W.L. 1888. An enumeration of the Rhynchota received from Baron von Müller, and collected by Mr. Sayer in New Guinea during Mr. Cuthbertson's Expedition. *Trans. entomol. Soc. Lond.*, 1888: 475-489.
- Distant, W.L. 1901. Contributions to the knowledge of the Rhynchota. Trans. entomol. Soc. Lond., 1901: 581-592.
- Distant, W.L. 1903a. The fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Rhynchota. Vol. 2(1): 1-242. Taylor & Francis, London.
- Distant, W.L. 1903b. Report on the Rhynchota, Part I. Heteroptera. Fasciculi Malayenses, Zool., 1: 221-272.
- Distant, W.L. 1909. Rhynchota (Heteroptera) from British India. Ann. Soc. entomol. Belg., 53: 360-376.
- Distant, W.L. 1911. The fauna of British India including Ceylon and Burma. Rhynchota. Vol. 5, (1910): i-xii, 1-362. Taylor & Francis, London.
- Doesburg, P.H. van, jr. 1968. A revision of the New World species of *Dysdercus* Guérin Méneville (Heteroptera, Pyrrhocoridae). Zool. Verhandel., Leiden, 97: 1-215.

- Fabricius, J.C. 1781-1782. Species insectorum exhibentes eorum differentias specificas, synonyma auctorum, loca natalia, metamorphosin adjectis observationibus, descriptionibus, 2: 1-517. Bohn, Hamburgi & Kilonii [1781: 1-494, 1782: 495-517].
- Fabricius, J.C. 1787. Mantissa insectorum sistens species nuper detectas adjectis synonymis, observationibus, descriptionibus, emendationibus, 2: 1-382. Proft, Hafniae.
- Fabricius, J.C. 1794. Entomologia systematica emendata et aucta, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, adjectis synonymis, locis, obsefvationibus, descriptionibus, 4: i-v, 1-472. Proft, Hafniae.
- Freeman, P. 1947. A revision of the genus *Dysdercus* Boisduval (Hemiptera, Pyrrhocoridae), excluding the American species. *Trans. r. entomol. Soc. Lond.*, 98: 373-424.
- Gaedike, H. 1971. Katalog der in den Sammlungen des ehemaligen Deutschen Entomologischen Institutes aufbewahrten Typen – V. Beitr. Entomol., 21::79-159.
- Gray, G. 1832. Notices of new genera and species. In: Griffith, E., The animal kingdom, Insecta, 2: 1-796. Whittaker, London.
- Herrich-Schaeffer, G.A.W. 1843. Die wanzenartigen Insecten, 7: 17-40. Zeh, Nürnberg.
- Horváth, G. 1889. Notes synonymiques et géographiques sur les Hémiptères paléarctiques. *Rev. Entomol.*, 8: 325-331.
- Hsiao, T.Y. 1964. Results of the Zoological-Botanical Expedition to Southwest China 1955-1957 (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae and Largidae). Acta entomol. Sinica, 13: 401-406. (In Chinese; English summary).
- Hussey, R.F. 1929. Pyrrhocoridae (with bibliography by E. Sherman). General catalogue of the Heteroptera, 3: 1-144. Smith College, Northampton, Mass., USA.
- Jakovlev, V.E. 1880. Contributions to the fauna of bugs of Russia and adjacent countries, I-III. Bull. Soc. imp. Naturalist. Moscou, 55(1): 157-173. (In Russian).
- Josifov, M. & Kerzhner, I.M. 1978. Heteroptera aus Korea. II. Teil (Aradidae, Berytidae, Lygaeidae, Pyrrhocoridae, Rhopalidae, Alydidae, Coreidae, Urostylidae, Acanthosomatidae, Scutelleridae, Pentatomidae, Cydnidae, Plataspidae). Fragm. faun., Warszawa, 23: 137-196.
- Kirby, W.F. 1891. Catalogue of the described Hemiptera Heteroptera and Homoptera of Ceylon, based on the collection formed (chiefly at Pundaluoya) by Mr. E. Ernest Green. J. Linn. Soc. Lond., 24: 72-176.
- Kiritshenko, A.N. 1912. Synonymische Bemerkungen über einige Hemipteren. Russ. entomol. Obozr., 12: 204-206.
- Kiritshenko, A.N. 1963a. Beiträge zur Hemipteren-Fauna (Hemiptera-Heteroptera) Afghanistans. Entomol. Obozr., 42: 373-378. (In Russian).
- Kiritshenko, A.N. 1963b. Melamphaus komodoensis – species nova Pyrrhocoridarum (Hemiptera-Heteroptera) ex insula Komodo. Treubia, 26: 63.
- Kuschakewitsch, A.A. 1866. Several new species of bugs (Hemiptera). Horae Soc. entomol. ross., 4: 97-101. (In Russian).

- Lefroy, H.M. 1909. The insect fauna of Tirhut, No. 1, Rhynchota Heteroptera. *Rec. Ind. Mus.*, 3: 301-338.
- Schmidt, E. 1932. Zur Kenntnis der Familie Pyrrhocoridae Fieber (Hemiptera-Heteroptera). Teil II. *Wien. entomol. Ztg.*, **49**: 236-281.
- Signoret, V. 1881. Liste des Hémiptères recueillis en Chine par M. Collin de Plancy et le diagnoses de sept d'entre eux qui constituent des espèces nouvelles. Bull. Soc. entomol. Fr., 1881: 45-47 [also published in: Ann. Soc. entomol. Fr. (6), 1, Bull.: xli-xlii].
- Spinola, M. 1837. Essai sur les insectes Hémiptères L. ou Rhyngotes F. et à la section des Hétéroptères Duf.: 1-383. Graviers, Geneva.
- Stål, C. 1863. Beitrag zur Kenntniss der Pyrrhocoriden. Berlin. entomol. Z., 8: 390-404.
- Stål, C. 1870. Enumeratio Hemipterorum. 1. Kungl. Sv. Vet. Akad. Handl., 9(1): 1-232.
- Stehlík, J.L. 1965a. Mission Zoologique de l'I. R. S. A. C. en Afrique orientale (P. Basilewsky – N.

Leleup, 1957). Pyrrhocoridae (Het.). Acta Mus. Morav., Sci. nat., 50: 211-252.

- Stehlik, J.L. 1965b. Pyrrhocoridae and Largidae collected by E.S. Brown on Solomon Islands (Heteroptera). Acta Mus. Morav., Sci. nat., 50: 253-292.
- Taeuber, H.W. 1927. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Heteropteren-Fauna der Philippinen. I. Pyrrhocoridae. Konowia, 6: 165-201.
- Takara, T. 1957. Provisional list of Hemiptera (Heteroptera) in the Ryukyu Islands. Sci. Bull. Agric. Home Econ. Div. Univ. Ryukyus, 4: 11-90.
- Walker, F. 1872. Catalogue of the specimens of Hemiptera Heteroptera in the collection of the British Museum, 5: 1-202. British Museum (Natural History), London.
- Yasunaga, T., Takai, M., Yamashita, I., Kawamura, M. & Kawasawa, T. 1993. A field guide to Japanese bugs. Terrestrial Heteropterans (M. Tomokuni, ed.): 1-302. Tokyo. (In Japanese).

Received 23 November 1998