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Josifov (1966) distinguished 4 species of Maccevethus (distribution completed from Putshkov, 1986): M. errans F., 1794 (NW Africa, Spain; apical part of paramere about 1.5 times as wide as long, with round margin), M. caucasicus Kol., 1845 (S Europe to E Kazakhstan and NW China; apical part of paramere about as wide as long, with parabolic margin), M. corsicus Sign., 1862 (S Europe to Azerbaijan; apical part of paramere more than twice as wide as long, with weakly convex margin) and M. persicus Jak., 1882 ( E Iran to Mongolia; paramere as in ${ }^{-}$M. corsicus but smaller and with shorter hypophysis, also the body smaller). For each species parameres of 5-7 specimens from various localities were figured by Josifov.

Putshkov \& Kerzhner (1983) upgraded M. caucasicus to subspecies of $M$. errans and M. persicus to subspecies of $M$. corsicus. They noted that the distribution of the two species is widely overlapping while the distribution of subspecies within each species is continuous, differences between them are small, and specimens intermediate between the two subspecies of $M$. corsicus are found in Iran.

Moulet (1994) stated that M. errans and M. caucasicus are good species readily differing in the form of the parameres (with rounded and parabolic apical margin, respectively) and, contrary to Josifov, cohabiting in the area from Spain to Dalmatia. However none of his figures illustrates $M$. errans from the latter area; his figures illustrate specimens from the same regions as given by Josifov: M. caucasicus from France to Turkey and Lebanon, M. errans from Spain and NW Africa. The only exception is a specimen referred to $M$. errans and originating from Turkey (where distribution of M. errans is not given by Moulet). Examination of Moulet's figures (whether precise?) rather laids to conclusion that the two forms hardly can be distinguished and that Moulet's identifications are rather arbitrary: for example, the margin of paramere is regularly rounded in his $M$.
caucasicus from Turkey (Moulet's fig. 15) and obviously parabolic in his M. errans from Spain (fig. 27). II consider therefore that $M$. errans and $M$. caucasicus are merely subspecies: M. e. errans and M. e. caucasicus.

Moulet stated also that specimens resembling $M$. persicus and transitional to $M$. corsicus are found in the Mediterranean and therefore M. persicus cannot be maintened even as subspecies of $M$. corsicus. But of the four figures given by Moulet as "persicus" two (fig. 28, Malta, and fig. 29, Lebanon) obviously refer to M. c. corsicus, one (fig. 31, Algeria) to M. e. errans ( $M$. corsicus does not occur in Africa!) and the only figure really referring to $M$. c. persicus (fig. 30, "Chine (Mongolie)", actually S Mongolia) agrees exactly with the differences given by Josifov. Having examined a large material of $M$. corsicus, I confirm that outside of the small transitional zone the two forms of this species readily differ and deserve subspecific rank.
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