

Technology Transfer

Non-native Pest

Biology and Control of Emerald Ash Borer



Edited by Roy G. Van Driesche and Richard C. Reardon



CHAPTER 11: FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN EAB-AFFECTED FORESTS

Deborah G. McCullough¹, Roy Van Driesche² and Therese M. Poland³

¹Department of Entomology & Dept. of Forestry, Michigan State University, Lansing, Michigan 48824; mccullo6@mail.msu.edu

²Department of Environmental Conservation, University of Massachusetts

³Northern Research Station, USDA Forest Service

The ability of natural enemies to slow emerald ash borer (EAB), *Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire (Coleoptera: Buprestidae), population growth in a given area will play a major role in determining whether many native ash species can persist as functional components of forest ecosystems. Population growth of EAB, like that of any other organism, is determined by reproduction, development rate, and survival at each stage of development. Fecundity of female EAB is relatively high when compared to other phloem-feeding *Agrilus* species. For example, *A. difficilis* Gory can produce 36 eggs per female (Akers et al., 1986), *A. anxius* Gory, 55 eggs (Rutledge and Keena, 2012), *A. auriventris* Saunders, 140 eggs (Huangfu et al., 2007), while a female *A. auroguttatus* Schaeffer may produce 575 eggs (Lopez and Hoddle, 2014). In laboratory settings, EAB females can lay more than 275 eggs over the course of their life span. Although egg viability tends to diminish over time, even in the wild, on average, EAB can probably produce at least 40-60 offspring per female.

Most EAB larvae develop in a single year, but in newly infested ash that are relatively healthy a high proportion of larvae require two years to develop (Siegert et al., 2010; Tluczek et al., 2011), initially slowing the new population's growth rate (Mercader et al., 2011). Populations of EAB in northern latitudes where summers are short may also be more likely to require two years for development, a pattern previously observed with *A. anxius* (Barter, 1957). In stressed ash, including trees injured by increasing densities of EAB larvae, however, all or nearly all EAB develop in a single year (Tluczek et al., 2011). Like other phloem-feeding insects, the survival of larvae of EAB is primarily limited by the availability of phloem of its host tree. Using data from several

field studies, Mercader et al. (2011) estimated that an EAB larva requires approximately 10 cm² of ash phloem to complete development. Similarly, McCullough and Siegert (2007) reported an average of approximately 89-105 adult EAB could develop per m² of phloem in white ash (*Fraxinus americana* L.) or green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica* Marshall). Canopy decline generally becomes apparent at a density of 25-35 EAB per m² (Anulewicz et al., 2007). Ash phloem available for larval feeding increases rapidly with the diameter at breast height (dbh) of the tree. For example, using methods of McCullough and Siegert (2007), a tree with a dbh of 30 cm can produce approximately 1335 adult EAB, while a 60 cm tree can produce 6285 beetles. Of course, not every m² of phloem will produce 90-100 adult EAB beetles. At the peak of the invasion, individual trees can harbor 200-300 early stage larvae per m² (Tluczek et al., 2011, Tanis and McCullough 2015), but intraspecific competition for phloem results in high mortality, typically of third instars. Nevertheless, when ash, particularly large ash, are abundant, EAB density in a given area will be very high during the peak of the invasion wave.

Given that few options are available for reducing female fecundity or slowing development of EAB, effective control tactics must limit survival of eggs, larvae, or adult beetles. Systemic insecticides protect landscape ash trees by substantially reducing survival of EAB adults and larvae, but these products are obviously not likely to be used in forests. Mortality of EAB attributable to parasitism and predation varies considerably among sites and among trees within sites. Relatively high rates of egg parasitism (ca 20%) (Abell et al., 2014), larval parasitism (10-70%) (Cappaert and McCullough, 2009; Duan et al., 2013; Tanis and McCullough, in press 2015), and

woodpecker predation (22-85%) (Lindell et al., 2008; Jennings et al., 2013; Duan et al., 2013; Flower et al., 2014; Tanis and McCullough, 2015) have been recorded at some sites in Michigan and Ohio. Duan et al. (2014) reported that in some Michigan sites, population growth rates for experimental (artificially established) EAB cohorts dropped from an R_0 value of 16.0 to 4.7 and from 19.4 to 4.6 for wild EAB cohorts. This drop, however, also reflects the progression of ash mortality at these sites. Mortality rates for overstory green ash, white ash and black ash (*Fraxinus nigra* Marshall) trees in much of southeast Michigan exceed 90% and few trees >10 cm dbh remain alive (Burr and McCullough, 2014; Flower et al., 2013; Knight et al., 2013; Klooster et al., 2014). Decreased EAB population growth rates, therefore, reflect the diminished availability of ash phloem for larval development together with increased mortality from natural enemies (Duan et al., 2014).

The cumulative influence of mortality due to native and introduced natural enemies on EAB population trajectories is not yet clear, particularly in areas where the EAB invasion is relatively recent. Populations of EAB in southeast Michigan were established for more than a decade before the first introductions of Asian parasitoids (Gould, 2007) and the first observations of significant larval parasitism by native *Atanycolus* spp. (Liu et al., 2003; Cappaert and McCullough, 2009; Siegert et al., 2014). In states with more recent infestations, however, Asian parasitoids have been introduced within a few years of detection. Whether earlier introduction and establishment of Asian parasitoids will effectively slow the progression of ash mortality in these areas remains to be seen.

Many of the Michigan stands decimated by EAB are characterized by abundant ash regeneration, including seedlings and saplings. Although ash saplings down to 2.5 cm in diameter can be colonized by EAB (Cappaert et al., 2005), trees <10 cm in diameter often escape colonization even during the peak of the EAB invasion wave (Herms et al., 2010; Burr and McCullough, 2014; Klooster et al., 2014; Smith et al., in press 2015). The fate of these young trees will likely determine whether ash persists as a functionally viable component of forest ecosystems in

North America. Ash trees must be at least 8-10 cm in diameter before they begin to produce seed (Kennedy, 1990), and frequency of seeding years varies among ash species. Seed crops can be heavy, but losses from unfilled seeds and seed predation (e.g., ash seed weevils [*Lignyodes* spp.]) can be substantial (Solomon et al., 1993), and seeds do not persist in the seed bank over time (Klooster et al., 2014). Ash seedlings are tolerant of shade and may persist in closed canopy stands for several years (Kennedy, 1990). As ash mature, they become increasingly intolerant of shade, and generally require full or nearly full exposure to sun to reach the overstory (Baker, 1949; Gucker, 2005). Canopy gaps resulting from mortality of overstory ash can facilitate recruitment of young ash if gaps are not filled by lateral in-growth of other overstory trees (Bartlett and Remphrey, 1998, Burr and McCullough 2014) or regeneration of competing species (Flower et al., 2013, Smith et al., 2015).

The ability of natural enemies, including native and introduced parasitoids, to prevent young ash from being killed by EAB may play a critical role in the long term survival and persistence of ash across much of North America. Density of EAB in a local area clearly declines as ash trees, particularly large ash trees, are killed. Effects of egg and larval parasitoids on EAB survival may become more pronounced in these areas after the EAB invasion wave goes through and the availability of ash phloem to support EAB has dropped substantially. Complete mortality of EAB life stages is not likely to be necessary; in general, most ash trees are remarkably resilient and tolerate a low level of larval feeding (McCullough et al., 2015). Thus, while ash may no longer function as a dominant overstory species, natural enemies may enable ash trees to persist at some level, providing food and habitat for populations of native insects and mites that are ash specialists (see Chapter 2). The consistent preference for small diameter trees demonstrated by *Tetrastichus planipennisi* Yang (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), one of the introduced larval parasitoids (Abell et al., 2012; Duan et al., 2013), may be particularly beneficial in this regard.

Given the current and potential impacts of EAB in North America, biological control research and evaluation efforts must continue. Possible effects

of interspecific differences among North American ash in resistance to EAB and the implications of these differences for biological control warrant consideration. Blue ash, *Fraxinus quadrangulata* Michx., growing on fertile sites, for example, appears to be relatively resistant to EAB, while black ash is highly vulnerable to EAB (Tanis and McCullough, 2012; Klooster et al., 2014; Herms and McCullough, 2014). Biological control agents may be more successful at a blue ash site because of its inherent higher resistance to EAB. Conversely, at sites dominated by black ash, introduced parasitoids may be overwhelmed and unable to demonstrate any numerical response to EAB before all or nearly all trees are killed. Evaluating factors associated with relative resistance and vulnerability of different ash species could have important implications for identifying sites where introduced parasitoids are likely to be most effective.

In urban, residential, and even rural areas, effects of combining two or more EAB management tactics should be studied. Systemic insecticides, including products with emamectin benzoate, azadiractin, dinotefuran, or imidacloprid, are translocated in xylem to the canopy branches and foliage (Mota-Sanchez et al., 2009; Tanis et al., 2012). In contrast to cover sprays of insecticides applied to the outer bark, when systemic materials are used, egg parasitoids, such as the introduced *Oobius agrili* Zhang and Huang (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), will not encounter the insecticide. Larval parasitoids, whether native or introduced, will not attack dead EAB larvae nor will woodpeckers attempt to prey on dead larvae. Using systemic insecticides may therefore offer two benefits: ash trees treated with an effective product are protected from EAB injury and insecticide-reductions in overall EAB density may cause parasitoids or predators to concentrate their efforts on infested but untreated trees. The combination of systemic insecticides and natural enemies could yield an additive effect or perhaps even a synergistic effect if natural enemy reproduction or host searching behavior is enhanced (Barclay and Li, 1991; Suckling et al., 2012). Understanding more about how to optimize the spatial distribution of trees treated with systemic insecticides in a given locality to enhance

parasitism or predation rates could be productive. For example, field studies consistently show girdled ash trees are highly attractive to adult EAB, especially in recently infested sites (McCullough et al., 2009a,b; Mercader et al., 2013). Opportunities may exist to employ girdled or stressed ash to concentrate both EAB and parasitoid populations in selected areas.

Much remains to be learned about native parasitoids, including their host-seeking behavior, cues that elicit parasitism, and the ability of these species to learn and adapt to a new host. Most native parasitoids and insect predators of phloem-feeding beetles are opportunistic habitat specialists, rather than host specialists (Kennedy and McCullough, 2002) and, as such, may be capable of developing on many species, genera, and even families of insects (but see Taylor et al., 2012). Native parasitoids including *Atanycolus* spp., *Phasgonophora sulcata* Westwood (Hymen.: Chalcididae), and *Spathius floridanus* Ashmead (Hymen.: Braconidae), while not well studied, are frequently recovered from trees colonized by native wood- or phloem-borers and in some areas, parasitism of EAB larvae by one or more native species is increasing (Duan et al., 2012).

Many parasitoids are adept at learning combinations of olfactory and visual cues associated with potential host insects and modifying their responses accordingly (Turlings et al., 1993). As an invasive insect population spreads, opportunities for native parasitoids to encounter and adapt to the new invader increase (Vet and Groenewold 1990; Turlings et al., 1993; Grabenweger et al., 2010). Assemblages of native parasitoids may respond and adapt to an invader relatively quickly, but their ability to affect dynamics of an invasive species varies considerably. For example, native generalist parasitoids quickly adapted to light brown apple moth, *Epiphyas postvittana* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) in California and high parasitism rates contributed to population suppression (Wang et al., 2012). In contrast, native parasitoids had little effect on citrus leafminer (*Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton [Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae]) populations in Spain and responded in a negative density-dependent manner to high pest populations (Vercher et al., 2005). Research on the ability of native parasitoids to adapt and respond to

EAB invasion is needed and could lead to practical and effective tactics to augment parasitism rates by these species.

Considerable research has been conducted to identify semiochemical attractants or visual cues used by native and introduced EAB parasitoids including pheromones for *T. planipennisi*, and *S. agrili* and *S. floridanus* (Bauer et al., 2011, Cossé et al., 2012) and responses of *P. sulcata*, *S. agrili*, and *S. floridanus* to host kairomones associated with either EAB or ash trees (Roscoe et al., 2011, Johnson et al., 2014). Other research has addressed parasitoid response to visual stimuli including trap colors (Cooperband et al., 2013) and mechano-reception of vibrations by EAB larvae (Ulyshen et al., 2011). Further studies on olfactory, visual, and perhaps vibrational cues used by parasitoids to locate potential hosts may improve EAB biological control. Pre-release conditioning or oviposition manipulation with semiochemicals, for example, might increase parasitoid efficacy. Attractive lures or aerial application of volatile compounds could perhaps provide a means to attract or enhance parasitoid populations.

Given the ongoing expansion of EAB, the economic costs resulting from urban infestations and the still unknown ecological ramifications of this invader for forest ecosystems, it seems clear that an integrated approach is needed to deal with EAB. Understanding and enhancing the collective effects of native and introduced parasitoids and predators on EAB will be crucial if native ash species are to persist in North America.

REFERENCES

- Abell, K. J., J. J. Duan, L. Bauer, J. P. Lelito, and R. G. Van Driesche. 2012. The effect of bark thickness on the effectiveness of *Tetrastichus planipennisi* (Hymen: Eulophidae) and *Atanycolus* spp. (Hymen: Braconidae), two parasitoids of emerald ash borer (Coleop: Buprestidae). *Biological Control* 63: 320–325.
- Abell, K. J., L. S. Bauer, J. J. Duan, and R. G. Van Driesche. 2014. Long-term monitoring of the introduced emerald ash borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) egg parasitoid, *Oobius agrili* (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), in Michigan, USA and evaluation of a newly developed monitoring technique. *Biological Control* 79: 36–42.
- Akers, R. C., D. A. Herms, and D. G. Nielsen. 1986. Emergence and adult biology of *Agrilus difficilis* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae), a pest of honeylocust, *Gleditsia triacanthos*. *Great Lakes Entomologist* 19: 27–30.
- Anulewicz, A. C., D. G. McCullough, and D. L. Cappaert. 2007. Emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*) density and canopy dieback in three North American ash species. *Arboriculture and Urban Forestry* 33: 338–349.
- Baker, F. S. 1949. A revised tolerance table. *Journal of Forestry* 47: 179–181.
- Barclay, H. J. and C. Li. 1991. Combining methods of pest control: minimizing cost during the control program. *Theoretical Population Biology* 40: 105–123.
- Barter, G. W. 1957. Studies of the bronze birch borer, *Agrilus anxius* Gory, in New Brunswick. *The Canadian Entomologist* 89: 12–36.
- Bartlett, G. A. and W. R. Remphrey. 1998. The effect of reduced quantities of photosynthetically active radiation on *Fraxinus pennsylvanica* growth and architecture. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 76: 1359–1365.
- Bauer, L., J. Gould, J. Duan, J. Hansen, A. Cossé, D. Miller, K. Abell, R. Van Criesche, J. Lelito, and T. Poland. 2011. Sampling methods for recovery of exotic emerald ash borer parasitoids after environmental release. pp: 2–4, In: McManus, K. and K. Gottschalk (eds.), *Proceedings of the 22nd US Department of Agriculture Interagency Research Forum on Invasive Species* 2011, 11–14 January 2011, Annapolis, Maryland. USDA Forest Service, NRS, General Technical Report NRS-P-92.
- Burr, S. J. and D. G. McCullough. 2014. Condition of green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*) overstory and regeneration at three stages of the emerald ash borer invasion wave. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 44: 768–776.
- Cappaert, D. and D. G. McCullough. 2009. Occurrence and seasonal abundance of *Atanycolus cappaerti* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae)

- a native parasitoid of emerald ash borer, *Agrilus planipennis* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). *Great Lakes Entomologist* 42 (1/2): 16–29.
- Cappaert, D., D. G. McCullough, T. M. Poland, and N. W. Siegert. 2005. Emerald ash borer in North America: a research and regulatory challenge. *American Entomologist* 51: 152–165.
- Cooperband, M. F., A. Hartness, J. P. Lelito, and A. A. Cossé. 2013. Landing surface color preferences of *Spathius agrili* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a parasitoid of emerald ash borer, *Agrilus planipennis* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). *Journal of Insect Behavior* 26: 721–729.
- Cossé, A. A., R. J. Petroski, B. W. Zilkowski, K. Vermillion, J. P. Lelito, M. E. Cooperband, and J. R. Gould. 2012. Male-produced pheromone of *Spathius agrili*, a parasitoid introduced for the biological control of the invasive emerald ash borer, *Agrilus planipennis*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 38: 389–399.
- Duan, J. J., L. S. Bauer, K. J. Abell, and R. Van Driesche. 2012. Population responses of Hymenopteran parasitoids to the emerald ash borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) in recently invaded areas in north central United States. *BioControl* 57: 199–209.
- Duan, J. J., L. S. Bauer, K. J. Abell, J. P. Lelito, R. Van Driesche. 2013. Establishment and abundance of *Tetrastichus planipennisi* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) in Michigan: Potential for success in classical biocontrol of the invasive emerald ash borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 106: 1145–1154.
- Duan, J. J., K. J. Abell, L. S. Bauer, J. Gould, and R. Van Driesche. 2014. Natural enemies implicated in the regulation of an invasive pest: A life table analysis of the population dynamics of the emerald ash borer. *Agricultural and Forestry Entomology* 79: 36–42. published on line DOI: 10.1111/afe.12070
- Flower, C. E., K. S. Knight, and M. A. Gonzalez-Meir. 2013. Impacts of the emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire) induced ash (*Fraxinus* spp.) mortality on forest carbon cycling and successional dynamics in the eastern United States. *Biological Invasions* 15: 931–944.
- Flower, C. E., L. C. Long, K. S. Knight, J. Rebbeck, J. S. Brown, M. A. Gonzalez-Meler, and C. J. Whelan. 2014. Native bark-foraging birds preferentially forage in infected ash (*Fraxinus* spp.) and prove effective predators of the invasive emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire). *Forest Ecology and Management* 313: 300–306.
- Gould, J. 2007. Petition for Release of an Exotic Parasitoid, *Spathius agrili* Yang, for the Biological Control of the Emerald Ash Borer, *Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire. Petition submitted to USDA APHIS (Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service), Unpublished. 22 pp.
- Gucker, C. L. 2005. *Fraxinus pennsylvanica*. In: Fire effects information systems. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Science Lab. Available from <http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/tree/frapen/all.html> [accessed August 2014].
- Grabenweger, G., P. Kehrl, I. Zweimüller, S. Augustin, N. Avtzis, S. Bacher, J. Freise, S. Girardoz, S. Guichard, W. Heitland, C. Lethmayer, M. Stolz, R. Tomov, L. Volter, and M. Kenis. 2010. Temporal and spatial variations in the parasitoid complex of the horse chestnut leafminer during its invasion in Europe. *Biological Invasions* 12: 2797–2813.
- Herms, D. A. and D. G. McCullough. 2014. The emerald ash borer invasion of North America: history, biology, ecology, impacts and management. *Annual Review of Entomology* 59: 13–30.
- Herms, D. A., W. Klooster, K. S. Knight, K. J. K. Gandhi, C. P. Herms, A. Smith, D. McCullough, and J. Cardina. 2010. Ash regeneration in the wake of EAB: will it restore ash or sustain the outbreak? pp. 17–18. In: Lance, D., J. Buck, D. Binion, R. Reardon, and V. Mastro. (Compilers). *Proceedings of the Emerald Ash Borer Research and Technology Development Meeting*. 20-21 October 2009, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA. FHTET-2010-01. USDA Forest Service Forest Health Technology Enterprise Team, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA.
- Huangfu, W-G., S-J. Wei, H-H. Zheng, P-C. Liu, W. Huang, Z-H. Shi, and X-X. Chen. 2007. Ovarian development of *Agrilus auriventris* Saunders

- (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). *Acta Entomologica Sinica* 50: 682–688.
- Jennings, D. E., J. R. Gould, J. D. Vandenberg, J. J. Duan, and P. M. Shrewsbury. 2013. Quantifying the impact of woodpecker predation on population dynamics of the emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*). *PLoS ONE* 8 (12) e83491.
- Johnson, T., J. P. Lelito, and K. Raffa. 2014. Responses of two parasitoids, the exotic *Spathius agrili* Yang and the native *Spathius floridanus* Ashmead, to volatile cues associated with the emerald ash borer *Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire. *Biological Control* 79: 110–117. Available on-line; DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2014.05.004>
- Kennedy, H. E. 1990. Green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica* Marsh.), pp. 348–354. In: Burns, R.M. and B. H. Honkala (eds.). *Silvics of North America. Vol. 2. Hardwoods*. Agriculture Handbook 654. USDA Forest Service, Washington, D.C.
- Kennedy, A. A. and D. G. McCullough. 2002. Phenology of the exotic pine shoot beetle (*Tomicus piniperda* [L.]) (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) in relation to native bark beetles and natural enemies in red pine stands. *Environmental Entomology* 31: 261–272.
- Klooster, W. S., D. A. Herms, K. S. Knight, C. P. Herms, D. G. McCullough, A. S. Smith, K. J. K. Gandhi, and J. Cardina. 2014. Ash (*Fraxinus* spp.) mortality, regeneration, and seed bank dynamics in mixed hardwood forests following invasion by emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*). *Biological Invasions* 16: 859–873. DOI: 10.1007/s10530-013-0543-7.
- Knight, K. S., J. P. Brown, and R. P. Long. 2013. Factors affecting the survival of ash (*Fraxinus* spp.) trees infested by emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*). *Biological Invasions* 15: 371–383.
- Lindell, C. A., D. G. McCullough, D. Cappaert, N. M. Apostolou, and M. B. Roth. 2008. Factors influencing woodpecker predation on emerald ash borer. *American Midland Naturalist* 159: 434–444.
- Liu, H., L. S. Bauer, R. Gao, T. Zhao, T. R. Petrice, and R. A. Haack. 2003. Exploratory survey for the emerald ash borer *Agrilus planipennis* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) and its natural enemies in China. *Great Lakes Entomologist* 36: 191–204.
- Lopez, V. M. and M. S. Hoddle. 2014. Effects of body size, diet, and mating on the fecundity and longevity of the goldspotted oak borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 107: 539–548.
- McCullough, D. G. and N. W. Siegert. 2007. Estimating potential emerald ash borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) populations using ash inventory data. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 100: 1577–1586.
- McCullough, D. G., T. M. Poland, A. C. Anulewicz, D. L. and Cappaert. 2009a. Emerald ash borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) attraction to stressed or baited ash trees. *Environmental Entomology* 38: 1668–1679.
- McCullough, D. G., T. M. Poland, D. Cappaert, and A. C. Anulewicz. 2009b. Attraction of the emerald ash borer to ash trees stressed by girdling, herbicide treatment, or wounding. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 39: 1331–1345.
- McCullough, D. G., R. J. Mercader, and N. W. Siegert. 2015. Developing and integrating tactics to slow ash mortality caused by emerald ash borer. *The Canadian Entomologist*. (In press)
- Mercader, R. J., N. W. Siegert, A. M. Liebhold, and D. G. McCullough. 2011. Influence of foraging behavior and host spatial distribution on the localized spread of the emerald ash borer, *Agrilus planipennis*. *Population Ecology* 53: 271–285.
- Mercader, R. J., D. G. McCullough, and J. M. Bedford. 2013. A comparison of girdled ash detection trees and baited artificial traps for emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire) detection. *Environmental Entomology* 42: 1027–1039.
- Mota-Sanchez, D., B. M. Cregg, D. G. McCullough, T. M. Poland, and R. M. Hollingworth. 2009. Distribution of trunk-injected ¹⁴C imidacloprid in ash trees and effects on emerald ash borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) adults. *Crop Protection* 28: 655–661.
- Roscoe, L. E., D. B. Lyons, and S. M. Smith. 2011. Host habitat location using semiochemicals by *Phasgonophora sulcata* Westwood (Hymenoptera: Chalcididae) a native parasitoid of *Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire (Coleoptera: Buprestidae), pp. 76–77. In: Parra, G., D. Lance, V. Mastro, R. Reardon, and C. Benedict (compilers).

- Proceedings of the Emerald Ash Borer Research and Technology Development Meeting*, 12-13 October 2011, Wooster, Ohio, USA. FHTET-2011-06. USDA Forest Service Forest Health Technology Enterprise Team, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA.
- Rutledge, C. E. and M. A. Keena, M. A. 2012. Mating frequency and fecundity in *Agrilus anxius* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 105: 852–858.
- Siegert, N.W., D. G. McCullough, D. W. Williams, I. Fraser, and T. M. Poland. 2010. Dispersal of *Agrilus planipennis* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) from discrete epicenters in two outlier sites. *Environmental Entomology* 39: 253–265.
- Siegert, N. W., D. G. McCullough, A. M. Liebhold, and F. W. Telewski. 2014. Dendrochronological reconstruction of the origin and progression of ash mortality caused by the invasive emerald ash borer in North America. *Diversity and Distributions* 20: 847–858.
- Smith, A., D. A. Herms, R. P. Long, and K. J. K. Gandhi. 2015. Community composition and structure had no effect on forest susceptibility to invasion by emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*). *The Canadian Entomologist*. (In press)
- Solomon J. D., T. D. Leininger, and A. D. Wilson. 1993. Ash pests: a guide to major insects, diseases, air pollution injury, and chemical injury. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report SO-96.
- Suckling, D. M., D. G. McCullough, D. A. Herms, and P. C. Tobin. 2012. Combining tactics to exploit Allee effects for eradication of alien insect populations. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 105: 1–13.
- Tanis, S. R. and D. G. McCullough. 2012. Differential persistence of blue ash (*Fraxinus quadrangulata*) and white ash (*Fraxinus americana*) following emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*) invasion. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 42: 1542–1550.
- Tanis, S. R. and D. G. McCullough. 2015. Host resistance of five *Fraxinus* species to *Agrilus planipennis* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) and effects of paclobutrazol and fertilization. *Environmental Entomology*. (In press)
- Tanis, S. R., B. M. Cregg, D. Mota-Sanchez, D. G. McCullough, and T. M. Poland. 2012. Spatial and temporal distribution of trunk-injected ¹⁴C-imidacloprid in *Fraxinus* trees. *Pest Management Science* 68: 529–536.
- Taylor, P. B., J. J. Duan, R. W. Fuester, H. Hoddle, and R. G. Van Driesche. 2012. Parasitoid guilds of *Agrilus* woodborer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae): Their diversity and potential for use in biological control. *Psyche: A Journal of Entomology* (article #819329): 1-10. doi: 10.1155/2012/813929
- Tluczek, A. R., D. G. McCullough, and T. M. Poland. 2011. Influence of host stress on emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire) (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) adult density, development, and distribution in *Fraxinus pennsylvanica* trees. *Environmental Entomology* 40: 357–366.
- Turlings, T. C. J., F. L. Wäkers, L. E. M. Vet, W. Lewis, and J. H. Tumlinson. 1993. Learning of host-finding cues by hymenopterous parasitoids, pp. 51–78, In: Papaj, D.R. (ed.). *Insect Learning*. Springer, New York.
- Ulyshen, M. D., R. W. Mankin, Y. Chen, J. J. Duan, T. M. Poland and L. S. Bauer. 2011. The role of emerald ash borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) larval vibrations in host-quality assessment by *Tetrastichus planipennisi* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). *Ecological Entomology* 104: 81–86.
- Vercher, R., J. Costa-Comelles, C. Marzal, and F. García-Mari. 2005. Recruitment of native parasitoid species by the invading leafminer *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae) on citrus in Spain. *Environmental Entomology* 34: 1129–1138.
- Vet, L. E. M. and A. W. Groenewold. 1990. Semiochemicals and learning in parasitoids. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 16: 3119–3135.
- Wang, X-G, K. Levy, N J. Mills, and K.M. Daane. 2012. Light brown apple moth in California: a diversity of host plants and indigenous parasitoids. *Environmental Entomology* 41: 81–90.

